Introduction:

LEA: Berryessa Union Elementary School District Contact (Name, Title, Email, Phone Number): Will H. Ector, Superintendent, will.ector@busd.net, (408) 923-1812 LCAP Year: 2016 - 2017

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

| Involvement Process | Impact on LCAP |
|--|---|
| BUSD gathered input from a variety of stakeholders to inform the 2016 – 2019 Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP). Throughout the month of March 2016, district meetings included presentations of information on the 2015 - | The online survey results were instrumental in writing/reviewing the actions/services for the 2016 – 2019 LCAP. |
| 2018 LCAP which included reviewing data from the current school year, and reviewing the actions/services of the 2015 – 2016 LCAP. | Data from the LCAP survey was gathered at each of the stakeholder meetings through the online LCAP survey. The following data was collected from the surveys: |
| Data gathering sessions included: | 700 responses from staff, teachers, parents, and community members. |

| | Page 5 of 87 |
|---|--|
| Administrator meeting (March 2nd) – A meeting of all principals and district office administrators was held to review the actions/services listed in the 2015 – 2018 LCAP. | Feedback was collected on the support of the district's |
| Berryessa District Advisory Committee (BDAC) meeting (March 17) - Reviewed actions/services of 2015 – 2016 LCAP through a Power Point presentation. | Feedback was conducted on how we should move forward with the Action Items that supported each LCAP goal. |
| Members of the committee had a chance to ask questions and take an online survey. | Goal #1 59% of the respondents agreed that hiring 2 additional school social workers |
| DELAC meeting (March 10) – Reviewed actions/services of 2015 – 2016 LCAP | for elementary sites was a priority. 54% of the respondents agreed that setting goals at each school site to |
| through a Power Point presentation. Parents had a chance to ask questions and take online survey. | improve school climate (based on California Healthy Kids data) was a priority. 43% of the respondents agreed to continue to provide digital citizenship lessons at school sites was a priority. |
| LCAP Stakeholder presentations (Throughout March 2016) - The school site principal presented 2015 – 2018 LCAP information to each school site (i.e. School Site Council meeting or Parent Teacher Association meeting). An online LCAP survey was available for each of the stakeholders to take to give their input on the LCAP to get their thinking on how additional funds would be spent which aligned to the current goals. E-mail reminder (April 4) – An e-mail reminder was sent out to all parents/family members through the Infinite Campus program. Parents were given a link to the online 2014 – 2015 LCAP survey. | Goal #2 52% of the respondents agreed that piloting English Language Arts/English Language Development curriculum was a priority. |
| After reviewing the LCAP 2015 – 2016 survey results, a draft of the LCAP was written and reviewed with each of the following stakeholder groups. Each stakeholder member was able to give feedback on the actions/services for the 2016 – 2019 LCAP. Meetings were held on the following dates: DELAC meeting (May 12) Berryessa District Advisory Committee meeting (May 19) Public Hearing (June 7) | Goal #3 57% of the respondents agreed that continuing to provide monthly professional development at each school site (Project Based Learning, technology, etc.) was a priority. 51% of the respondents agreed that offering professional development for teachers piloting English Language Arts/English Language Development curriculum was a priority. 43% of the respondents agreed that providing professional development for classified staff based on an identified need was a priority. |
| | 54% of the respondents agreed that continuing translation/interpretation services for parents that speak Mandarin, Spanish, Tagalog, and Vietnamese |

| Fage 6 01 87 |
|---|
| was a priority. 38% of the respondents agreed that continuing the Career Fairs at all three middle schools was a priority. 37% of the respondents agreed that expanding Parent University classes was a priority. |
| After each goal, respondents were asked to provide any additional ideas for actions that will support the goals. Common themes for each goal are listed as follows: |
| Goal #1 Increase safety and procedures (i.e. more emergency drills/lockdowns, more awareness, lock gates at schools) at each school site. More training on anti-bullying/character education More training on digital citizenship. More support for special education students (psychologists, speech teachers, support staff). |
| Goal #2 Increase technology, teacher support, and relevant education software at the school sites. Focused training on the 4 C's. Training and curriculum to support special education students. Continue training on English Language Development and Project Based Learning strategies. |
| Goal #3 Professional Development needs to based on site needs, created at the sites, content specific, and relevant. Professional Development needs to include specific training for special education teachers and staff. More specific Professional Development on Next Generation Science Standards, Math, and subject specific training for middle school teachers. |
| Goal #4 More Parent University offerings - parenting classes, helping students with Common Core homework, and specific curriculum nights (Math Night, Science |

| | Page 7 of |
|--|--|
| | Night). More communication with parents. Encourage more parent volunteers at the school sites, including parents who have students with special needs. |
| | Stakeholder feedback was used to inform the actions/services of the 2016 – 2019 LCAP. |
| | Comments from the Actions/services of the Annual Update (2015 – 2016) wer taken into consideration to inform the actions/services of the 2016 – 2019 LCAP. |
| | Stakeholders who attended the DRAFT LCAP meetings wrote out Questions/Concerns on sticky notes for each of the four goals and actions/services during each of the LCAP Draft meetings. The feedback was typed up to review with the superintendent and his cabinet members. |
| | A board session is scheduled on June 7, 2016 to review the document with the board. Questions will be answered during that session. A public hearing is scheduled for June 7, 2016 during the board meeting. Answers to questions will be reviewed at this meeting and posted to our district website. |
| Annual Update: | Annual Update: |
| Monthly LCAP updates were conducted at each board meeting (October 2015 – April 2016). Assistant Superintendent of Education Services reviewed actions/activities through a PowerPoint presentation that were aligned to the 2015 – 2016 LCAP. | After each monthly update at the board meeting, a district office representative responded to questions/comments from the board members/community members to inform the Annual Update. |
| Throughout the month of March 2016, district meetings included presentations of information on the 2015 - 2016 LCAP, reviewing data that | Data was gathered at each of the stakeholder meetings through the online LCAP survey. |
| supported the goals, and reviewing the actions/services. | 700 responses were received from staff, teachers, parents, and community members. 378 parents, 302 teachers/staff, and 20 community members |

| | Page 8 of 87 |
|--|--|
| Administrator meeting (March 2nd) – A meeting of all principals and district office administrators was held to review the actions/services and data that supported those actions/services listed in the 2015 – 2016 LCAP. We spent | Feedback was conducted on how we should move forward with the Action Items that supported each LCAP goal. |
| time reviewing the LCAP PowerPoint that they would be delivering at their | Goal #1 |
| staff meetings, Parent Teacher Association (PTA) meetings, and their School | 59% of the respondents agreed that hiring 2 additional school social workers |
| Site Council (SSC) meetings during the month of March. | for elementary sites was a priority. |
| | 54% of the respondents agreed that setting goals at each school site to |
| District English Language Advisory Committee meeting (March 10) – Reviewed | improve school climate (based on California Healthy Kids data) was a priority. |
| actions/services and data of the 2015 – 2016 LCAP through a Power Point | 43% of the respondents agreed to continue to provide digital citizenship |
| presentation. Parents had a chance to ask clarifying questions, give feedback, and take an online survey. | lessons at school sites was a priority. |
| | Goal #2 |
| Community LCAP Meeting (March 15) - meeting held for community members to review actions/services and data from the 2015 - 2016 LCAP through a | 52% of the respondents agreed that piloting English Language Arts/English Language Development curriculum was a priority. |
| PowerPoint presentation. Community members had a chance to ask clarifying | 51% of the respondents agreed that Continuing to Implement Project Based |
| questions, give feedback, and take an online survey. | Learning (PBL) at school sites was a priority. |
| | 51% of the respondents agreed that implementing Next Generation Science |
| Berryessa District Advisory Committee (March 19) – Reviewed actions/services | Standards (NGSS) and piloting curriculum was a priority. |
| and data of the 2015 – 2016 LCAP through a Power Point presentation. | 39% of the respondents agreed that purchasing library books for each school |
| Community members had a chance to ask clarifying questions, give feedback, and take an online survey. | site was a priority. |
| | Goal #3 |
| E-mail reminder (April 4th) – An e-mail reminder was sent out to all | 57% of the respondents agreed that continuing to provide monthly |
| parents/family members through the Infinite Campus program. Parents were | professional development at each school site (Project Based Learning, |
| given a link to the online 2015 – 2016 LCAP survey. | technology, etc.) was a priority. |
| | 51% of the respondents agreed that offering professional development for |
| | teachers piloting English Language Arts/English Language Development |
| | curriculum was a priority. |
| | 43% of the respondents agreed that providing professional development for |
| | classified staff based on an identified need was a priority. |
| | Goal #4 |
| | 54% of the respondents agreed that continuing translation/interpretation services for parents that speak Mandarin, Spanish, Tagalog, and Vietnamese was a priority. 38% of the respondents agreed that continuing the Career Fairs at all three |
| | middle schools was a priority. |

Page 9 of 87

37% of the respondents agreed that expanding Parent University classes was a priority.

After each goal, respondents were asked to provide any additional ideas for actions that will support the goals. Common themes for each goal are listed as follows:

Goal #1

Increase safety and procedures (i.e. more emergency drills/lockdowns, more awareness, lock gates at schools) at each school site.

More training on anti-bullying/character education

More training on digital citizenship.

More support for special education students (psychologists, speech teachers, support staff).

Goal #2

Increase technology, teacher support, and relevant education software at the school sites.

Focused training on the 4 C's.

Training and curriculum to support special education students. Continue training on English Language Development and Project Based Learning strategies.

Goal #3

Professional Development needs to based on site needs, created at the sites, content specific, and relevant.

Professional Development needs to include specific training for special education teachers and staff.

More specific Professional Development on Next Generation Science Standards, Math, and subject specific training for middle school teachers.

Goal #4

More Parent University offerings - parenting classes, helping students with Common Core homework, and specific curriculum nights (Math Night, Science Night).

More communication with parents.

Encourage more parent volunteers at the school sites, including parents who

have students with special needs.

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Action/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

Page 14 of 87

| Ensure | e a safe and productive learning environment for all students. | | | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1×2 $3 \times 5 \times 6 \times 7 \times 8 \times 10^{-10}$ |
|--|--|---------------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| GOAL 1: | | | | | COE only: 9 10 |
| | | | | | Local : Specify |
| Identified Need : | | | | | |
| Goal Applies to: | Schools: ALL | | | | |
| | Applicable Pupil ALL Subgroups: | | | | |
| | | | LCAP Year 1: 2016-17 | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | LCAP Year 1: 2016-17 al Data to support Goal #1 - Attendance rate (district-wide) - increase by 1% to 97% (district-wide for the 2016 - 2017 school year); each school at 97% attendance rate Chronic Absence Rate - Continue to focus on students who are chronically absent by offering support from the School Social Worker and through the Student Attendance Review Board (SARB) process. Site principals along with school attendance clerks and school social workers will follow-up on the 45 students who were placed on 2 year attendance contracts and the 7 students who were referred to the District Attorney during the 2016 - 2017 school year. Suspension rates - During the 2014 - 2015 school year (from DataQuest) - Berryessa USD's suspension rate was 3.8% which was 178 suspensions; Santa Clara County's suspension rate was 2.5%. During the 2015 - 2016 school year - Berryessa USD had 141 suspensions. During the 2016 - 2017 school year, we will continue to monitor our suspension data and set a goal to decrease the number of suspensions to less than 100 (district-wide). Expulsion rates - During the 2014 - 2015 school year (from DataQuest) - Berryessa USD's expulsion rate was .2% which was 15 expulsions. Santa Clara County's expulsion rate was .1%. During the 2015 - 2016 school year - Berryessa USD had 6 expulsions. During the 2016 - 2017 school year, we will continue to monitor our expulsion data and set a goal to decrease the number of expulsions to less than 5 (district-wide). Set school goals based on California Healthy Kids Survey. 100% of school safety plans are current and updated annually. | | | | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | | Budgeted Expenditures |
| | naintain facilities and make upgrane nent of data regarding needs and | | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners | Maintenance & Facilities | & supplies Base \$7,582,553.19 |

| | | | Page 15 of 87 |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | | _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 1B – Support training, readiness, and monitoring of implementation of safety plans. | LEA-wide | X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 10% of School Safety plan Committee's salaries Base \$167,044.03 |
| 1C – Individual school sites decide on which programs to adopt , such as PBIS, BEST, WEB, and use of social workers/counselors shared between school sites (elementary schools). Middle school counselors, school social workers, and assistant principals will look into professional development for implementing restorative justice practices at their school sites. | LEA-wide, School wide | X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Cost of PBIS and other programs at school sites Supplemental \$47,500 |
| 1D – Monitor implementation of activities to support digital citizenship at each school site. (tracking system). | LEA -wide | X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 10% of Technology coach's salary Supplemental \$12,858.78 |
| 1E – Continue to support students' socio-emotional needs by adding 2 additional school social workers who will support the elementary school sites. FosterVision to help schools identify and support Foster Youth students. Provide tutoring and mentoring services for Foster Youth students. | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$367,722.08 Transportation support (Homeless students) Supplemental \$10,000 Tutoring/mentoring services for Foster Youth Supplemental \$5,000 |

| | | | Page 16 of 87 |
|--|----------|---|---|
| Transportation cost support and other services for Homeless students. | | _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 1F – Continue implementation and monitor effectiveness of the Response To Intervention (RTI) model and specifically social-emotional programs (e.g. PBIS) across all schools. | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental 362,722.08 |
| 1G - Monitor and support students with health issues (i.e. diabetes, allergies, etc.) that can interfere with academic success causing absences at the school sites | | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Additional .5 FTE Nurse Supplemental \$75,000 |

| | | | LCAP Year 2: 2017-18 | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Measurable | Attendance rate (district-wide) - 96% (2015 - 2016 school year); increase by 1% to 97% (district-wide for the 2017 - 2018 school year); each school at 97% attendance rate | | | | | |
| | Chronic Absence Rate - Continue to focus on students who are chronically absent by offering support from the School Social Worker and through the Student Attendance Review Board (SARB) process. Site principals along with school attendance clerks and school social workers will follow-up on the 45 students who were placed on 2 year attendance contracts and the 7 students who were referred to the District Attorney during the 2017 - 2018 school year. | | | | | |
| | Suspension rates - During the 2014 - 2015 school year (from DataQuest) - Berryessa USD's suspension rate was 3.8% which was 178 suspensions; Santa Clara County's suspension rate was 2.5%. During the 2015 - 2016 school year - Berryessa USD had 141 suspensions. During the 2017 - 2018 school year, we will continue to monitor our suspension data and set a goal to decrease the number of suspensions to less than 75 (district-wide). | | | | | |
| | Expulsion rates - During the 2014 - 2015 school year (from DataQuest) - Berryessa USD's expulsion rate was .2% which was 15 expulsions. Santa Clara County's expulsion rate was .1%. During the 2015 - 2016 school year - Berryessa USD had 6 expulsions. During the 2017 - 2018 school year, we will continue to monitor our expulsion data and set a goal to decrease the number of expulsions to less than 3 (district-wide). | | | | | |
| | Compare California Healthy Kids data collected to 2015 - 2016 school year. Increase parent participation by 10%. Set school climate goals based on the California Healthy Kids Survey Data. | | | | | |
| | 100% of school safety plans are curre | nt and updat | ed annually. | | | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | |
| | naintain facilities and make upgrades nent of data regarding needs and | LEA-wide | All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Maintenance & Facilities & supplies Base \$7,582,553.19 | | |

10% of School Safety plan Committee's salaries Base \$167,044.03

<u>X</u> All

OR:

_ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth

LEA-wide

2B – Support training, readiness, and monitoring of implementation of safety plans.

| | | | Page 18 of 87 |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | | _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 2C –Individual school sites decide on which programs to adopt , such as PBIS, BEST, WEB, and use of social workers/counselors shared between school sites (elementary schools). Middle school counselors, school social workers, and assistant principals will look into professional development for implementing restorative justice practices at their school sites. | LEA-wide, School wide | All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Cost of PBIS and other programs at school sites Supplemental \$47,500 |
| 2D – Monitor implementation of activities to support digital citizenship and adjust as necessary (tracking system). | LEA-wide | All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 10% of Technology coach's salary Supplemental \$12,859.00 |
| 2E – Continue to support students' socio-emotional needs by employing 8 school social workers (5 - elementary schools and 3 - middle schools). FosterVision to help schools identify and support Foster Youth students. Provide tutoring and mentoring services for Foster Youth students. Transportation cost support and other services for Homeless students. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners X Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$725,444.08 Transportation support (Homeless students) Supplemental \$10,000 Tutoring/mentoring support for Foster Youth Supplemental \$5,000 |
| 2F – Continue implementation and monitor effectiveness of the Response To Intervention (RTI) model and specifically social-emotional programs (e.g. PBIS) across all schools. | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$725,444.08 |

| | | | | Page 19 of 8 | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | |
| CG - Monitor and support students with health issues i.e. diabetes, allergies, etc.) that can interfere with icademic success causing absences at the school sites | | LEA-wide X All OR: | | Additional .5 FTE School Nurse Supplemental \$75,000 | |
| | | | LCAP Year 3: 2018-19 | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | school at 97% attendance rate Chronic Absence Rate - Continue to for through the Student Attendance Review workers will follow-up on the 45 studen Attorney during the 2016 - 2017 school Suspension rates - During the 2014 - 20 suspensions; Santa Clara County's su During the 2016 - 2017 school year, w less than 100 (district-wide). Expulsion rates - During the 2014 - 20 Santa Clara County's expulsion rate w | ocus on stud w Board (S/ hts who were ol year. 2015 school spension ra e will contin 15 school ye as .1%. Du nonitor our e California H | lents who are chronically ab ARB) process. Site principa e placed on 2 year attendan year (from DataQuest) - Be te was 2.5%. During the 207 ue to monitor our suspensio ear (from DataQuest) - Bern ring the 2015 - 2016 school expulsion data and set a goa lealthy Kids Survey Data. | % to 97% (district-wide for the 2016 - 2017 school year); each sent by offering support from the School Social Worker and its along with school attendance clerks and school social ce contracts and the 7 students who were referred to the District rryessa USD's suspension rate was 3.8% which was 178 15 - 2016 school year - Berryessa USD had 141 suspensions. In data and set a goal to decrease the number of suspensions to yessa USD's expulsion rate was .2% which was 15 expulsions. year - Berryessa USD had 6 expulsions. During the 2016 - al to decrease the number of expulsions to less than 5 (district- | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| | maintain facilities and make upgrades f data regarding needs and impact. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> _All OR: | Maintenance & Facilities & supplies Base \$7,582,553.19 | |

| | | | Page 20 of 87 |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 3B - Support training, readiness, and monitoring of implementation of safety plans. | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 10% of School Safety plan Committee's salaries Base \$167,044.03 |
| 3C - Individual school sites decide on which positive behavior programs to adopt, such as PBIS, BEST, WEB, and use of social workers/counselors shared between school sites (elementary schools). | LEA-wide School- wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Cost of PBIS and other programs at school sites |
| 3D - Monitor implementation of activities to support digital citizenship and adjust as necessary. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 10% of Technology coach's salary Supplemental \$12,859 |
| 3E - Continue to support students' socio-emotional needs by employing 8 school social workers (5 - elementary schools and 3 - middle schools). | LEA-wide | X_AII OR: _ Low Income pupils | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$725,444.08 Transportation support (Homeless students) Supplemental \$10,000 |
| FosterVision to help schools identify and support Foster | | _ English Learners _ Foster Youth | Tutoring/mentoring for Foster Youth students Supplemental |

| | | | Page 21 of 87 |
|--|----------|---|---|
| Youth students. Provide tutoring and mentoring services for Foster Youth students. Transportation cost support and other services for Homeless students. | | _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | \$5,000 |
| 3F - Continue implementation and monitor effectiveness of the Response to Intervention (RTI) model and specifically social-emotional programs (e.g. PBIS) across all schools. | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$725,444.08 |
| 3G - Monitor and support students with health issues (i.e. diabetes, allergies, etc.) that can interfere with academic success causing absences at the school sites | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Additional .5 FTE Nurse Supplemental \$75,000 |

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Page 22 of 87

| | Provide Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Instruction with strategic use of technology for proficiency in the 4 Cs: Communication, Collaboration, Critical Thinking, and Creativity | Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \times 2 \times 3$ 456 $7 \times 8 \times 10^{-1}$ |
|------------|--|--|
| GOAL 2: | | COE only: 9 10 |
| | | Local : Specify |
| Identified | leed : | |
| Goal Appl | es to: Schools: ALL | |
| | Applicable Pupil ALL Subgroups: | |

| Page | 23 | of | 87 |
|------|----|----|----|
|------|----|----|----|

| | LCAP Year 1: 2016-17 | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Expected annual measurable outcomes to support Goal #2: | | | | | |
| Measurable Outcomes: | In the 2016 - 2017 school year, the goal is to have 100% of teachers appropriately placed in teaching assignments. | | | | | |
| | In the 2016 - 2017 school year, the goal is to maintain 100% of sites passing the Williams Compliance review. | | | | | |
| | Toyon Elementary School was identified as our Science Technology Engineering Arts and Mathematics (STEAM) school to be opened in the 2017 - 2018 school year. | | | | | |
| | In the 2016 - 2017 school year, elementary music will be a pull-out model and physical education will be used as the 4th and 5th grade prep period. We will track the number of students who choose to participate in our elementary music program. | | | | | |
| | In the 2016 - 2017 school year, our goal is to increase the students who have met or exceeded the district-wide total by +5% (57%) in English Language Arts on the 2015 - 2016 SBAC. In the 2016 - 2017 school year, our goal is to increase the students who have met or exceeded the district-wide total by +5% (55%) in Math on the 2015 - 2016 SBAC. | | | | | |
| | Continue to monitor the Reading Inventory Lexile Growth of EL middle school students who are enrolled in Read 180/System 44. In the 2016 - 2017 school year, there will be a mean district growth of 50 points based on a pre and post assessment (SRI). Students who do not make significant growth in the Read 180/System 44 program will remain in the program and students who make significant growth will be mainstreamed back into the regular education classrooms. | | | | | |
| | Continue to reach the state target of 60.5% for AMAO 1 (Percentage of ELs Making Annual Progress in Learning) on the CELDT. | | | | | |
| | Continue to reach the state target of 24.2% for AMAO 2 (Percentage of ELs Attaining the English Proficient Level on CELDT); Continue to reach the state target of 50.9% English Learners 5 years or more | | | | | |
| | Decrease the number of students being referred for Special Education services. In 2016 - 2017, the goal would be to have the number of K - 8 assessments below 100 based on implementing Supplemental Instruction and differentiating instruction for students who are below grade level standards. | | | | | |
| | In the 2015 - 2016 school year, we had 201 students who participated in the Supplemental Education System (SES) program. In 2016 - 2017, Supplemental Instruction (SI) will be more site based taught by a credentialed teacher. The program that they will be using is Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI). We will monitor the progress of the students who participate in the program in the 2016 - 2017 school year to see how many reading levels they improve. This will set a baseline for collecting data for the following school year. | | | | | |
| | Create district benchmark assessments (using adopted curriculum - GoMath, enVision, CPM, F & P reading assessment kits) that are aligned to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and support teachers to evaluate the data and refine instruction to meet student needs. Establish goals for student achievement based on baseline achievement data collected 2016- 2017. | | | | | |
| | Actions/Services Scope of Service Pupils to be served within identified scope of service Budgeted Expenditures | | | | | |

| | | • | |
|---|----------|---|---|
| 1A – Provide basic instructional services, which include classroom teachers, school administrators, office staff, and district office administrators and staff. Recruit new staff to fill vacated positions as early as possible to ensure a full staff and delivery of basic instructional services. | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | All salaries Base \$42,591,760.00 |
| 1B — Provide resources to support state standards- | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All | Materials/Technology Supplemental \$573,286.40 |
| aligned instruction: | | OR: | Technology salaries Supplemental \$743,607.33 |
| a- Replace consumables and associated CCSS | | Low Income pupils English Learners | 2 part-time PE aides Supplemental \$75,000 |
| materials (including sets of Rigby books and short books | | _ Foster Youth | Library books at each school site Supplemental \$65,000 |
| for K - 2nd grade teachers). Develop curriculum maps for newly adopted curriculum materials and revise and | | _ Redesignated fluent | BrightByte survey Supplemental \$15,000 |
| align benchmarks to the standards in each unit. | | English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Tech lead (\$1000 stipend) at each school site Supplemental \$13,000 |
| b- Continue to create professional learning resources to highlight effective practices and improve teaching practice such as observations of teachers modeling practices and video sample lessons. | | (opeony) | Rigby Books & Short Books to teach small group instruction (K - 2nd grade teachers) Supplemental \$200,000 |
| c- Maintain a district-wide system to share lessons created at individual school sites. Include curriculum maps for newly adopted curriculum and any additional materials or resources needed for students to meet state standards. | | | |
| d- Continue to invest in and support classroom technology (e.g., computers for teachers, students, and administrators; and software). Develop a protocol for evaluating and purchasing software to ensure it is aligned with state standards and district curriculum. Hire 1 FTE Computer Specialist to help support additional computers in the classrooms. Identify technology lead at each school site. | | | |
| e - Hire 2 Physical Education aides to support the elementary PE program during the 4th/5th grade prep period. | | | |
| f - Purchase library books to help supplement CCSS | | | |

Page 24 of 87

| | | | Page 25 of 8 |
|---|----------------|--|--|
| lessons. g - Use BrightByte data to create technology action plan at each school site. | | | |
| 1C — Implement Next Generation Science Standards: a. Maintain site access to Next Generation Science Standards-aligned instructional materials and explore new materials with Science Lead Teachers. b. Continue transition to district STEAM school by engaging with community and planning towards development of magnet program: c.Train staff on the NGSS framework and the needed shifts in instruction with the support of Science Lead Teachers who receive professional development and create NGSS awareness presentations for each school site during 2-4 release days. d-Develop the academic content for the integrated pathway at the middle school beginning in 6th grade using FOSS materials, BaySci professional development, and teacher planning during 2-4 teacher release days. e -Prepare internal district systems for transition to STEAM school at Toyon in 2017-18 | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | BaySci contract for NGSS rollout Supplemental \$47,250 NGSS materials & STEAM materials Supplemental \$50,000 |
| 1D – Provide enrichment opportunities for students a- The district will maintain its music program and staff. b- The district will maintain its after school programs and services. c- The district will identify expanded enrichment opportunities during the school day (including possible changes to the master schedule and expanded learning time) and will roll out in selected school sites based on available funding. | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Music Teacher salaries Base \$832,001.85 |
| 1E — Provide intervention opportunities for students. a- Establish a set of district-wide expectations for use of | School wide | <u>X</u> All OR: | Site-based interventions/enrichment Supplemental \$50,000 |

| | | | Page 26 of 87 |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| funds to support site-based intervention, including a list of appropriate services (including technology applications) to provide to students. b- Train staff on expectations and transition sites to the use of identified interventions and services. c-Develop a protocol to be used at each site to review student data and develop a pathway to proficiency plan for students providing any needed interventions. d-Provide Reading Recovery training for 1 teacher and 2 coaches to provide literacy intervention for the lowest performing first grade students at 3 sites. e-Develop a protocol for the District and school sites to use when selecting software programs and apps for intervention and enrichment. Share a list of vendors approved using the new protocol. f-Provide every Resource Specialist teacher and every Special Day Class teacher with the Leveled Literacy Intervention materials and training to support implementation. | | _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 1F — Train principals, other site leaders and teachers on the EL Master Plan, monitor effectiveness, and adjust program as needed. a - Provide Two .5 FTE EL middle school teachers (Morrill & Piedmont) to help provide specific EL instruction (Read 180/System 44) | LEA-wide | All OR: _ Low Income pupils X English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | EL Instructional Coach's salary Title III \$127,149.61 Two .5 FTE EL middle school teachers Supplemental \$125,000 |
| 1G — Continue to provide middle school sites an AVID program including coordinator stipend, training, and other program resources. | All middle schools | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: | AVID contract Supplemental \$12,282 AVID Director's stipend Supplemental \$1500 |

| | | (Specify) | Fage 27 01 67 |
|---|----------|--|--|
| 1H — Continue the professional development and implementation of the Project Based Learning roll-out plan (which may include time for curriculum planning, and materials to support expand implementation). Reference PBL, EDLeader21 4C's Depth of Knowledge rubrics when developing curriculum maps and resources for teachers to support rigorous classroom instruction that develops students ability use the 4C's. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Planning materials and substitute costs Supplemental \$15,000 |
| 11 — Roll-out an online walk through tool for use by principals and district leaders during classrooms observations that are focused on collecting evidence of the 4C's using EDLeader 21 rubrics as a guide. Train principals on use of online walk though tool. | | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Purchase of online walk-through tool and principal training Supplemental \$1,500 |
| 1J — Implement CCSS, the 4C's and technology in mathematics: a- Maintain site access to CCSS Standards-aligned instructional materials. b- Develop curriculum maps and pacing guides for math that support students in transitioning from GoMath in K-2 to enVsion in 3-5, and then CPM in middle school. Incorporate supplemental materials into unit plans to support universal access or increase the rigor of each program as needed. Support teacher in the use of technology aspects of the math curriculum. Provide 2-4 release days for the Math Curriculum Leadership Team to develop curriculum maps. c-Train staff on meeting the needs of English learners in middle school when working with the dense and language rich CPM textbooks. | | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | SVMI Membership Supplemental \$7,500 Santa Clara County Office of Education contract to help support Math curriculum maps Supplemental \$5,000 |

| 1K — Implement CCSS, the 4C's and technology in integrated ELA/ELD instruction: a- Convene an ELA/ELD Curriculum Leadership Team with at least one representative from each school to review curriculum for adoption, explore the framework, and develop presentations to empower teachers to develop lessons aligned to the ELA/ELD Framework. Release teachers to meet 3-5 days during the school | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: | Leveled Literacy Intervention Training & Benchmark Assessment System Training Supplemental \$25,000 |
|--|--|--|
| year. b- Provide K-2 teachers with a classroom library for small group reading instruction design for each students reading level as assessed using the Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Reading Assessment. | (Specify) | |

| Page | 29 | of | 87 |
|------|----|----|----|
| | | | |

| | LCAP Year 2: 2017-18 | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Expected Annual Expected annual measurable outcomes to support Goal #2: | | | | | | |
| Measurable Outcomes: | In the 2017 - 2018 school year, the go | al is to have | 100% of teachers appropria | ately placed in teaching assignments. | | |
| | In the 2016 - 2017 school year, the go | al is to main | tain 100% of sites passing t | he Williams Compliance review. | | |
| | Toyon Elementary School was identified as our Science Technology Engineering Arts and Mathematics (STEAM) school to be opened in the 2017 - 2018 school year. | | | | | |
| | | number of st | udents who choose to partic | t model and physical education will be used as the 4th and 5th cipate in our elementary music program and compare the | | |
| | | AC. In the 2 | 017 - 2018 school year, our | met or exceeded the district-wide total by +5% (62%) in English r goal is to increase the students who have met or exceeded the | | |
| | CELDT data will not be used during the The new version of the CELDT will be | | | will need to collect site based data for our English Learners. ar and this will create baseline data. | | |
| | Continue to monitor the Reading Inventory Lexile Growth of EL middle school students who are enrolled in Read 180/System 44. In the 2016 - 2017 school year, there will be a mean district growth of 50 points based on a pre and post assessment (SRI). Students who do not make significant growth in the Read 180/System 44 program will remain in the program and students who make significant growth will be mainstreamed back into the regular education classrooms. In the 2017 - 2018 school year, Supplemental Instruction (SI) will be site based taught by a credentialed teacher. The program that they will be using is Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) | | | | | |
| Set data points for district benchmark assessments that are aligned to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and support teachers to evaluate the data and refine instruction to meet student needs. Establish goals for student achievement based on baseline achievement data collected 2015-16. | | | | | | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | |
| classroom teacher and district office a staff to fill vacated | c instructional services, which include rs, school administrators, office staff, administrators and staff. Recruit new positions as early as possible to and delivery of basic instructional | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: | All salaries Base \$42,591,760.00 | | |

Page 30 of 87

| | | (Specify) | |
|---|----------|--|--|
| 2B — Provide resources to support state standards- aligned instruction: a- Replace consumables and associated CCSS materials (including sets of Rigby books and short books for K - 2nd grade teachers . Develop curriculum maps for newly adopted curriculum materials and revise and align benchmarks to the standards in each unit. b- Continue to create professional learning resources to highlight effective practices and improve teaching practice such as observations of teachers modeling practices and video sample lessons. c- Maintain a district-wide system to share lessons created at individual school sites. Include curriculum maps for newly adopted curriculum and any additional materials or resources needed for students to meet state standards. d- Continue to invest in and support classroom technology (e.g., computers for teachers, students, and administrators; and software). Continue using protocol for evaluating and purchasing software to ensure technology resources align with state standards, district curriculum, and LCAP goals. Hire 1 FTE Computer Specialist to help support additional computers in the classrooms. Technology lead at each school site to help with technology issues. e - Continue to fund 2 Physical Education aides to support the elementary PE program during the 4th/5th grade prep period. f - Purchase library books to help supplement CCSS lessons. g - Use BrightByte data to create technology action plan at each school site. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Materials/Technology Supplemental \$573,286.40 Technology salaries Supplemental \$643,607.33 2 part-time PE aides Supplemental \$75,000 Additional library books to support the school libraries Supplemental \$65,000 BrightBytes Supplemental \$15,000 Tech leads at each site (\$1,000 stipend) \$13,000 Rigby Books & Short Books to teach small group instruction (K - 2nd grade teachers) Supplemental \$200,000 |
| 2C Continue to implement Next Generation Science Standards: | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All | BaySci contract for NGSS rollout Supplemental \$45,000 |

| a- Maintain site access to Next Generation Science Standards-aligned instructional materials. b-Continue to train staff on the NGSS framework and the needed shifts in instruction with the support of science lead teachers who receive professional development. Every site has 1-2 lead science teachers on the Science Curriculum Team. The science lead teams develop adoption criteria based during professional development and review curriculum to recommend for adoption. Science lead teachers are released 3-4 days during the school year. c-Develop the academic content for the integrated pathway at the middle school bringing 7th grade into the integrated pathway with support from BaySci and FOSS. Teachers plan instruction for the integrated approach during 1-3 release days or during the district professional development days prior to the start of the school year. d- Open STEAM school at Toyon | | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | NGSS materials Supplemental \$10,000 |
|---|----------------|--|---|
| 2D – Continue to provide enrichment opportunities for students a- The district will maintain its music program and staff. b- The district will maintain its after school programs and services. c- The district will identify additional sites to receive expanded enrichment opportunities based on available funding. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Music Teacher salaries Base \$832,001.85 |
| 2E – Intervention and enrichment a- Continue to support site transition to use of identified interventions and services. b- Continue to use district developed protocol at each | School wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth | Site-based interventions/enrichment Supplemental \$50,000 |

| | | | Page 32 of 87 |
|--|------------|--|---|
| school site to review student data and develop a pathway to proficiency plan for students performing below expectations that will provide needed interventions. | | _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| c- Continue to monitor effectiveness of programs to support students. | | | |
| d-Expand the number of Reading Recovery trained teaches/coaches, if funding is available. | | | |
| e-Continue to use a protocol for provided by the District for selection of technology-based interventions at school sites. Maintain the list of approved vendors who align with LCAP goals and district curriculum. | | | |
| f-Provide additional Leveled Literacy Intervention materials to school sites as needed. | | | |
| 2F – Continue to monitor the EL Master Plan and monitor effectiveness, and adjust program as needed. | LEA-wide | | EL Instructional Coach's salary Title III \$127,149.61 Two .5 FTE EL teachers Supplemental \$125,000 |
| a - Provide two .5 FTE EL middle school teachers (Morrill & Piedmont) to help provide specific EL instruction (Read 180/System 44) | | <u>X</u> English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 2G – Monitor effectiveness of the AVID program and | All middle | <u>X</u> All | AVID contract Supplemental \$12,282 |
| continue to train teachers in the AVID model. | schools | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | AVID Director's stipend Supplemental \$1500 |
| 2H – Continue the professional development and implementation of the PBL roll-out plan. Refine and revise PBL units as needed to support rigorous development of the 4C's and to support students in | LEA-wide | X_AII OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners | Planning materials Supplemental \$1,500 |

| | | Page 33 of 8 |
|---|--|---|
| meeting achievement goals. | Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 21 — Continue to roll-out the online walk through tool (in 7 remaining schools) for use by principals and district leaders during classrooms observations that is focused on collecting evidence of the 4C's. Refine the tool to align with improvements in curriculum and professional development. Continue to train principals on use of online walk though tool. Conduct Instructional rounds using the walk through tool in selected school sites. Principals collaborate in groups of 3-4, walking through each other's school site, to calibrate and support one another in developing instructional leadership skills. | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Purchase Walkthrough tool Supplemental \$1,500 |
| 2J — Implement CCSS, the 4C's and technology in mathematics: a- Maintain site access to CCSS Standards-aligned instructional materials. b- Refine curriculum maps and assessment as needed based on analysis of student data and teacher input. Support teacher in the use of technology aspects of the math curriculum. Provide 1-2 release days for the Math Curriculum Leadership Team to continue developing resources. c-Continue developing teachers strategies for meeting the needs of English learners in middle school when working with the dense and language rich CPM textbooks. | All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | SVMI membership Supplemental \$7,500 Revise curriculum maps for Math (substitute release time) Supplemental \$2,500 |
| 2K — Implement CCSS, the 4C's and technology in integrated ELA/ELD instruction: a- Convene an ELA/ELD Curriculum Leadership Team with at least one representative from each school to | All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners | Create curriculum maps for ELA/ELD (substitute release time) Supplemental \$2,500 |

| | | | | Page 34 of 87 | |
|--|---|---------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| to support implem | n maps, assessments and resources entation of adopted curriculum. to meet 2-4 days during the school | | _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | |
| | I | | LCAP Year 3: 2018-19 | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable | Expected annual measurable outcomes to support Goal #2: | | | | |
| | In the 2018 - 2019 school year, the goal is to have 100% of teachers appropriately placed in teaching assignments. | | | | |
| | In the 2018 - 2019 school year, the goal is to maintain 100% of sites passing the Williams Compliance review. | | | | |
| | Toyon Elementary School was identified as our Science Technology Engineering Arts and Mathematics (STEAM) school was opened in the 2017 - 2018 school year. In the 208 - 2019 school year, we will monitor the enrollment and look at the feasibility of making Toyon a magnet school. | | | | |
| | In the 2018 - 2019 school year, elementary music will continue to be a pull-out model and physical education will be used as the 4th and 5th grade prep period. We will continue to track the number of students who choose to participate in our elementary music program and compare the number of students to the 2017 - 2018 school year and adjust the program accordingly. | | | | |
| | In the 2018 - 2019 school year, our goal is to increase the students who have met or exceeded the district-wide total by +5% (67%) in English Language Arts on the 2016 - 2017 SBAC. In the 2018 - 2019 school year, our goal is to increase the students who have met or exceeded the district-wide total by +5% (65%) in Math on the 2017 - 2018 SBAC. | | | | |
| | CELDT data will not be used during the 2018 - 2019 school year. The results from the new version of the CELDT (ELPAC) will be used during the 2018 - 2019 school year and the data from that test will create baseline data. | | | | |
| | Continue to monitor the Reading Inventory Lexile Growth of EL middle school students who are enrolled in Read 180/System 44. In the 2018 - 2019 school year, there will be a mean district growth of 50 points based on a pre and post assessment (SRI). Students who do not make significant growth in the Read 180/System 44 program will remain in the program and students who make significant growth will be mainstreamed back into the regular education classrooms. | | | | |
| | In the 2018 - 2019 school year, Supplemental Instruction (SI) will be site based taught by a credentialed teacher. The program that they will be using is Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI). | | | | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| classroom teacher and district office a | c instructional services, which include rs, school administrators, office staff, administrators and staff. Recruit new positions as early as possible to | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners | All salaries Base \$42,591,760.00 | |

| | _ | Page 35 of 87 |
|--|--|---|
| ensure a full staff and delivery of basic instructional services. | Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 3B — Provide resources to support state standards- aligned instruction: a- Replace consumables and associated CCSS materials (including sets of Rigby books and short books for K - 2nd grade teachers). Develop curriculum maps for newly adopted curriculum materials and revise and align benchmarks to the standards in each unit. b- Continue to create professional learning resources to highlight effective practices and improve teaching practice such as observations of teachers modeling practices and video sample lessons. c- Maintain a district-wide system to share lessons created at individual school sites. Include curriculum maps for newly adopted curriculum and any additional materials or resources needed for students to meet state standards. d- Continue to invest in and support classroom technology (e.g., computers for teachers, students, and administrators; and software). Continue using protocol for evaluating and purchasing software to ensure technology resources align with state standards, district curriculum, and LCAP goals. Hire 1 FTE Computer Specialist to help support additional computers in the classrooms. Technology lead at each school site to help with technology issues. e - Continue to fund 2 Physical Education aides to support the elementary PE program during the 4th/5th grade prep period. f - Purchase library books to help supplement CCSS lessons. g - Use BrightByte data to create technology action plan | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Materials/Technology Supplemental \$573,286.40 Technology Salaries Supplemental \$743,607.33 2 part-time PE aides Supplemental \$75,000 Additional library books to support the school libraries Supplemental \$65,000 BrightBytes Supplemental \$15,000 Tech leads at each site (\$1000 stipend) Supplemental \$13,000 Rigby Books & Short Books to teach small group instruction (K - 2nd grade teachers) Supplemental \$200,000 |

| at each school site. | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|
| 3C - Implement Next Generation Science Standards: a - Maintain site access to Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) aligned instructional materials. b. Develop resources needed to support district adoption of NGSS aligned curriculum including pacing guides and revised benchmark assessments with teh Science Curriculum Team using 2-4 release days. c-Develop the academic content for the integrated pathway at the middle school bringing 8th grade into the integrated pathway with support from BaySci and FOSS. Teachers plan instruction for the integrated approach during 1-3 release days or during the district professional development days prior to the start of the school year. d- Continue to support STEAM school (Toyon Elementary) | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | BaySci contract for NGSS rollout Supplemental \$50,000 NGSS materials Supplemental \$25,000 |
| 3D - Continue to provide enrichment opportunities for students a - The district will maintain its music program and staff. b - The district will maintain its after-school programs and services. c - The district will identify additional sites to receive expanded enrichment opportunities based on available funding. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Music Teacher salaries Base \$832,001.85 |
| 3E - Intervention and enrichment a- Continue to support site transition to use of identified interventions and services. b- Continue to use district developed protocol at each school site to review student data and develop a pathway to proficiency plan for students performing below expectations that provides needed interventions. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: | Site-based interventions/enrichment Supplemental \$50,000 |
Page 37 of 87

| c- Continue to monitor effectiveness of programs to support students. d-Expand the number of Reading Recovery trained teaches/coaches, if funding is avaiable. e-Continue to use a protocol for provided by the District for selection of technology-based interventions at school sites. Maintain the list of approved vendors who align with LCAP goals and district curriculum. f-Provide additional Leveled Literacy Intervention | | (Specify) | |
|--|-----------------------|---|---|
| materials to school sites as needed. | | | |
| 3F - Continue to monitor the EL Master Plan and monitor effectiveness and adjust program as needed. a - Provide two .5 FTE EL middle school teachers (Morrill & Piedmont) to help provide specific EL instruction (Read 180/System 44) | | All OR: Low Income pupils X English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | EL Instructional Coach's salary Title III \$127,149.61 Two .5 FTE EL teachers Supplemental \$125,000 |
| 3G - Monitor effectiveness of the AVID program and continue to train teachers in the AVID model. | All middle schools | X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | AVID contract Supplemental \$12,282 AVID Director's stipend Supplemental \$1,500 |
| 3H -Continue the professional development and implementation of the PBL roll-out plan. Refine and revise PBL units as needed to support rigorous development of the 4C's and to support students in meeting achievement goals. | | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: | Planning materials Supplemental \$1,500 |

| | | Faye so 01 o7 |
|--|---|---|
| | (Specify) | |
| 3I - Walk-through tool a - Continue developing the online walk through tool for use by principals and district leaders during classrooms observations that is focused on collecting evidence of the 4C's. Refine the tool to align with improvements in curriculum and professional development. Continue to train principals on use of online walk though tool as needed. Conduct Instructional rounds using the walk through tool in selected school sites. Principals collaborate in groups of 3-4, walking through each other's school site, to calibrate and support one another in developing instructional leadership skills. | All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Training for principals Supplemental \$1500 |
| 3J — Implement CCSS, the 4C's and technology in mathematics: a- Maintain site access to CCSS Standards-aligned instructional materials. b- Refine curriculum maps and assessment as needed based on analysis of student data and teacher input. Support teacher in the use of technology aspects of the math curriculum. Provide 1-2 release days for the Math Curriculum Leadership Team to continue developing resources. c-Continue developing teachers strategies for meeting the needs of English learners in middle school when working with the dense and language rich CPM textbooks. | All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | SVMI membership Supplemental \$7,500 Revise curriculum maps for Math (substitute release time) as needed Supplemental \$1,500 |
| 3K— Implement CCSS, the 4C's and technology in integrated ELA/ELD instruction: a- Convene a ELA/ELD Curriculum Leadership Team with at least one representative from each school to refine curriculum maps, assessments and resources and to develop teachers capacity to be site leaders in implementing the ELA/ELD framework. Release teachers to meet 1-3 days during the school year | All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: | Revise curriculum maps for ELA/ELD (substitute release time) Supplemental \$3,500 |

| | | Page 39 of 87 |
|--|-----------|---------------|
| | (Specify) | |

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Page 40 of 87

| | Provide Professional development for all staff. | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 \underline{X} 2 \underline{X} 3 4 5 6 7 \underline{X} 8 \underline{X} |
|--------------|---|---|
| GOAL 3: | | COE only: 9 10 |
| | | Local : Specify |
| Identified N | leed : | |
| Goal Appli | es to: Schools: ALL | |
| | Applicable Pupil ALL Subgroups: | |

| | LCAP Year 1: 2016-17 |
|--|---|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Data to support Goal #3: Professional development sessions are listed below to address the instructional coaches and administrators, certificated (elementary and middle school) staff, and classified staff. Teachers and staff will be given advanced notice on these professional development sessions. Feedback forms will be given to staff to fill out after the professional development sessions in order to provide feedback for the Education Services team. Modifications will be made to the scheduled based on feedback on the surveys. |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for ELEMENTARY ONLY -Early Academic Language Strategies Training & Integrated Unit Design for SEAL TK-1 (BT, TY, VP) & K-3 (CW, LV, SD), 10 days, and four afternoon planning sessions -Benchmark Assessment System for K-2 Teachers, 1 day, plus 2 supported release days -Leveled Literacy Intervention Training for RSP and SDC teachers, 3 days |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for MIDDLE SCHOOL ONLY Integrated Pathway for NGSS Instruction, 6-8 science teachers, 2-5 days days Academic Discussion for ELA/Social Studies teachers, 2 days Technology Applications for Education, 2 afternoon sessions Scholastic Read 180 for ELD Teachers of CELDT 1 & 2 students, 3 days English 3D for teachers of long term English learners, 3 days |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for BOTH MIDDLE SCHOOL & ELEMENTARY Project Based Learning 101, 3 days in summer Professional Learning Community Training with New Teacher Center for Lead Teachers, 3 days Math Professional Development and Curriculum Mapping, 3 days, and 2 afternoon sessions ELA/ELD Framework for Literacy Lead Teachers, 4 days NGSS Awareness for Science Lead Teachers, 1-2 days Writing Workshop Narrative Unit of Study, for TK-5 teachers and 6-8 ELA/ELD & Social Studies Teachers Writing Calibration for Narrative Writing TK-5 and 6-8 ELA/ELD & Social Studies Teachers, 2 sessions Examining Common Core Content Literacy in the Arts for Music and Art Teachers, 2 days Curriculum Mapping, Goal Setting & Nutrition for PE Teachers, 2 days Behavior Strategies for Social Workers, Psychologist, SDC Teachers, SLPs, 2 days Job Alike Planning and Professional Development for Special Education Teachers, monthly |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for ADMINISTRATION & COACHING STAFF -Coach Training for teacher advisors, 8 days -ELA/ELD Framework for Principals and APs, 4 sessions -Positive Discipline & Intervention for Principals & APs, 2-3 sessions -Walk-through Tools for Principals & APs with instructional rounds, 1 session -LCAP/SPSA alignment training for Principals, 2 sessions -Collaboration and Professional Development for Coaches, bimonthly sessions PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for CLASSIFIED STAFF |

| | | | Page 42 of 87 | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| -Common Core Classroom Suppor -TCI training for Paraprofessional C | -Technology training for Attendance Clerks and School Secretaries, 3 sessions -Common Core Classroom Support/Special Ed. Paraprofessionals, 2-4 sessions -TCI training for Paraprofessional Choice, 3 days 100% of principals will be trained on the new Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template that will be aligned to the Local Control | | | | |
| Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | |
| 1A –Implement district-wide training to align with identified teacher and staff needs. Curriculum teams from each site align newly adopted curriculum to standards, develop pacing guides, benchmarks assessment and identify professional development needs for implementation of these resources. Curriculum teams review student data and provide input on the need for on-going professional development. Continue support for integrated thematic instruction through SEAL unit design and PBL sustained support and PBL 101. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Substitutes for Curriculum Mapping Supplemental \$5,000 | | |
| 1B – Continue district-wide academic coaching to transition to new frameworks. Develop models for effective coaching that develop teachers' capacity to provide effective instruction and increase participation in coaching at each site. Provide specialized training in early literacy to support implementation of new ELA/ELD framework, in math to support implementation of new math curriculum maps, and in science to transition to NGSS aligned teaching practices. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | all instructional coaches Supplemental \$948,058.05 | | |
| 1C – Continue to provide monthly teacher collaboration time during the monthly district-wide PD. Include collaboration around new frameworks, new curriculum maps, new benchmarks and analysis of student work in order to develop consistent and effective instruction across the District. Implement district-wide PD plan with principals to increase teacher collaboration at school sites, including evaluation of possible changes to the master schedule. Develop tools such as curriculum maps, planning templates and rubrics to support teacher collaboration. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 10% of teacher salaries Base \$3,356,362.87 | | |

| | 1 | | Page 43 of 87 |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1D – Continue to support principals to understand LCAP funding and align site plans with the district LCAP goals. Provide resources and training that increase the principals' ability create a site plan that builds on district goals, and leads to effective classroom instruction. The focus this year will be on implementation of new math curriculum maps and lessons aligned to the new ELA/ELD framework, and providing principals with tools to access successful implementation. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Copying costs Supplemental \$1,500 |
| 1E Support for English Learners a- Add sites who will receive the Sobrato Early Academic Language (SEAL) training, continue training for year two for all sites who initiated SEAL training in 2015-16. b- Continue Professional Development on the ELA/ELD framework including using new curriculum to develop effective lessons for English Learners. c- Continue academic coaching support for ELD including integration of ELD throughout the instructional day as well as designated ELD when appropriate. d- Continue to provide PD support for use of Read 180, System 44, and English 3D at the middle schools and explore expansion to grades 4-5. | LEA-wide selected elementar y schools and middle schools | All OR: Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | SEAL contract (cohort 1) Supplemental \$100,000 SEAL contract (cohort 2) Supplemental \$75,000 EL Instructional Coach Title III \$127,149.61 |

| | LCAP Year 2: 2017-18 |
|--|---|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Data to support Goal #3: Professional development sessions are listed below to address the instructional coaches and administrators, certificated (elementary and middle school) staff, and classified staff. Teachers and staff will be given advanced notice on these professional development sessions. Feedback forms will be given to staff to fill out after the professional development sessions in order to provide feedback for the Education Services team. Modifications will be made to the scheduled based on feedback on the surveys. |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for ELEMENTARY ONLY -Early Academic Language Strategies Training & Integrated Unit Design for SEAL Grades K-3 (BT, TY, VP) & K1 (MY, NW), 10 days, and four afternoon planning sessions -Benchmark Assessment System for 3-5 Teachers, 1 day, plus 2 supported release days -Leveled Literacy Intervention Training for RSP and SDC teachers, 3 days |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for MIDDLE SCHOOL ONLY Integrated Pathway for NGSS Instruction, 6-8 science teachers, 2-5 days days Technology Applications for Education, 2 afternoon sessions Scholastic Read 180 for ELD Teachers of CELDT 1 & 2 students, 1-2 days English 3D for teachers of long term English learners, 1-2 days |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for BOTH MIDDLE SCHOOL & ELEMENTARY -Project Based Learning Sustained Support, 2 days -Professional Learning Community Training with New Teacher Center for Lead Teachers, 3 days -Math Professional for Math Curriculum Team, 1-2 days -ELA/ELD Professional Development for New Instructional Materials, 1 day -Science Curriculum Team Professional Development and Adoption Selection, 2-4 days -Writing Workshop Unif of Study for Informational Writing, for TK-5 teachers and 6-8 ELA & Social Studies Teachers -Writing Calibration for Informational Writing for TK-5 and 6-8 ELA/ELD & Social Studies, 2 sessions -Examining Common Core Content Literacy in the Arts for Music and Art Teachers, 2 days -Job Alike Planning and Professional Development for Special Education Teachers, monthly |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for ADMINISTRATION & COACHING STAFF -Coach Training for teacher advisers, 8 days -ELA/ELD Instructional Materials for Principals and APs, 1-2 sessions -NGSS Framework for Principals and APs, 2-3 sessions -Positive Discipline & Intervention for Principals & APs, 2-3 sessions -Walk-through Tools for Principals & APs with instructional rounds, 1 session -LCAP/SPSA alignment training for Principals, 2 sessions -Collaboration and Professional Development for Coaches, bimonthly sessions |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for CLASSIFIED STAFF -Technology training for Attendance Clerks, 3 sessions -Common Core Classroom Support, 2-4 sessions -TCI training for Paraprofessional Choice, 3 days |

| -CSEA training for CSEA members by choice, 3 sessions 100% of principals were trained on aligning their Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) to the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAF) | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| 2A – Implement district-wide training to align with identified teacher and staff needs. Curriculum teams from each site align newly adopted curriculum to standards, develop pacing guides, benchmarks assessment and identify professional development needs for implementation of these resources. Curriculum teams review student data and provide input on the need for on-going professional development. Continue support for integrated thematic instruction through SEAL unit design and PBL sustained support and PBL 101. | LEA-wide | X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Substitutes for Curriculum Mapping Supplemental \$5,000 | |
| 2B – Continue district-wide academic coaching to transition to new state standards. Refine coaching models designed to develop teachers' capacity to provide effective instruction and increase participation in coaching at each site. Provide professional development for coaching staff to build their capacity to support techer in using ELA/ELD curriculum and pacing guides, as well as the NGSS framework, integrated science instruction in middle school, and new science curriculum to facilitate. | LEA-wide | X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | all instructional coaches Supplemental \$948,058.05 | |
| 2C – Continue to provide monthly teacher collaboration time during the monthly district-wide PD. Include collaboration around new curriculum maps, benchmarks and analysis of student work in order to develop consistent and effective instruction across the District. Develop teacher leaders and continue principal professional development to support and refine the plan for increased teacher collaboration at school sites, and revise the master schedule as needed. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 10% of teacher salaries Base \$3,356,362.87 | |
| 2D – Continue to support principals to understand LCAP funding and aligning site plans with the district LCAP goals. Provide resources and training that increase the | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils | Copying costs Supplemental \$1,500 | |

| | | | Page 46 of 87 |
|--|--|---|--|
| principals' ability create a site plan that builds on district goals, and leads to effective classroom instruction. The focus this year will be on implementation of new ELA/ELD curriculum maps, developing lessons aligned to the new NGSS framework, and initiating the integrated pathway in middle school science in 7th grade. | | _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 2E Support for English Learners a- Add remaining sites who will receive the Sobrato Early Academic Language (SEAL) training, maintain training for all sites who have already begun the SEAL program. b - Continue Professional Development on using new curriculum to support the ELA/ELD framework. c - Continue academic coaching support for ELD including integration of ELD throughout the instructional day as well as designated ELD when appropriate. d- Continue to provide PD support for use of Read 180, System 44, and English 3D at the middle schools and explore expansion to grades 4-5. | LEA-wide selected elementar y schools and middle schools | All OR: Low Income pupils X English Learners Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | SEAL contract (cohort 1) Supplemental \$60,000 SEAL contract (cohort 2) Supplemental \$100,000 EL Instructional Coach Title III \$127,149.61 |

| | LCAP Year 3: 2018-19 |
|--|--|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Data to support Goal #3: Professional development sessions are listed below to address the instructional coaches and administrators, certificated (elementary and middle school) staff, and classified staff. Teachers and staff will be given advanced notice on these professional development sessions. Feedback forms will be given to staff to fill out after the professional development sessions in order to provide feedback for the Education Services team. Modifications will be made to the scheduled based on feedback on the surveys. |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for ELEMENTARY ONLY -Early Academic Language Strategies Training & Integrated Unit Design for SEAL Grades K-3, 10 days, and four afternoon planning sessions -Benchmark Assessment System for 3-5 Teachers, 1 day, plus 2 supported release days -Leveled Literacy Intervention Training for RSP and SDC teachers |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for MIDDLE SCHOOL ONLY Integrated Pathway for NGSS Instruction, 6-8 science teachers, 2-5 days days Technology Applications for Education, 2 afternoon sessions Scholastic Read 180 for ELD Teachers of CELDT 1 & 2 students, 1-2 days English 3D for teachers of long term English learners, 1-2 days |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for BOTH MIDDLE SCHOOL & ELEMENTARY Project Based Learning Sustained Support, 2 days Professional Learning Community Training with New Teacher Center for Lead Teachers, 3 days Math Professional for Math Curriculum Team, 1-2 days ELA/ELD Professional Development for New Instructional Materials, 1 day Science Curriculum Team Professional Development and Adoption Selection, 2-4 days Writing Workshop Unif of Study for Informational Writing, for TK-5 teachers and 6-8 ELA & Social Studies Teachers Writing Calibration for Informational Writing for TK-5 and 6-8 ELA/ELD & Social Studies, 2 sessions Examining Common Core Content Literacy in the Arts for Music and Art Teachers, 2 days Job Alike Planning and Professional Development for Special Education Teachers, monthly |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for ADMINISTRATION & COACHING STAFF -Coach Training for teacher advisers, 8 days -ELA/ELD Instructional Materials for Principals and APs, 1-2 sessions -NGSS Framework for Principals and APs, 2-3 sessions -Positive Discipline & Intervention for Principals & APs, 2-3 sessions -Walk-through Tools for Principals & APs with instructional rounds, 1 session -LCAP/SPSA alignment training for Principals, 2 sessions -Collaboration and Professional Development for Coaches, bimonthly sessions |
| | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for CLASSIFIED STAFF -Technology training for Attendance Clerks, 3 sessions -Common Core Classroom Support, 2-4 sessions -TCI training for Paraprofessional Choice, 3 days |

| -CSEA training for CSEA members by choice, 3 sessions 100% of principals were trained on aligning their Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) to the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| 3A -Implement district-wide training to align with identified teacher and staff needs. Curriculum teams from each site align newly adopted curriculum to standards, develop pacing guides, benchmarks assessment and identify professional development needs for implementation of these resources. Curriculum teams review and revise pacing guides from the previous years based on student data and make recommendations for on-going professional development. Continue support for integrated thematic instruction through SEAL unit design and PBL sustained support and PBL 101 moving toward full district-wide implementation. | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Substitutes for Curriculum Mapping Supplemental \$5,000 | |
| 3B - Continue district-wide academic coaching to transition to new state standards. Continue to refine coaching models designed to develop teachers' capacity to provide effective instruction and increase participation in coaching at each site. Provide professional development for coaching staff to build their capacity to support teachers in using NGSS curriculum and curriculum maps and refine instruction in ELA/ELD, math and integrated thematic units based on student data. | | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | All instructional coaches Supplemental \$948,058.05 | |
| 3C - Continue to provide monthly teacher collaboration time during the monthly district-wide PD. Include collaboration around new curriculum maps, benchmarks and analysis of student work in order to develop consistent and effective instruction across the District. Develop teacher leaders and continue principal professional development to support and refine the plan for increased teacher collaboration at school sites, and revise the master schedule as needed. | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 10% of teacher salaries Base \$3,356,362.87 | |
| 3D - Continue to support principals to understand LCAP | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All | Copying costs Supplemental \$1,500 | |

| | | | Page 49 of 87 |
|--|---|--|--|
| funding and aligning site plans with the district LCAP goals. Provide resources and training that increase the principals' ability create a site plan that builds on district goals, and leads to effective classroom instruction. The focus this year will be on implementation of new NGSS curriculum, and curriculum maps, and competing the NGSS integrated pathway in middle school by bringing 8th grade on board. | | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 3E - Support for English Learners | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All | SEAL contract (cohort 2) Supplemental \$60,000 |
| a - Add grade levels and continue training at identified sites to receive the Sobrato Early Academic Language (SEAL) trainings. b - Continue Professional Development on using new curriculum to support the ELA/ELD framework. c - Continue academic coaching support for ELD including integration of ELD throughout the instructional day as well as designated ELD when appropriate. d- Continue to provide PD support for use of Read 180, System 44, and English 3D at the middle schools and grades 4-5. | selected elementar y and middle schools | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | EL instructional coach Title III |

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Page 50 of 87

| Goal # | 4 – Increase parent and community invo | olvement an | d education. | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 \underline{X} 4 5 6 7 8 |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| GOAL 4: | | | | | COE only: 9 10 |
| | | | | | Local : Specify |
| Identified Need : | | | | | |
| Goal Applies to: | Schools: ALL | | | | |
| | Applicable Pupil ALL Subgroups: | | | | |
| | | | LCAP Year 1: 2016-17 | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | During the 2016 - 2017 school year, of Latino Literacy project which was held 13 school sites sent parent representa | in the Fall a | ind Spring, 75 parents partic | cipated in the District-wide | EL Parent University, and 13 of the |
| | SchoolSmarts training will take place a | at 3 element | ary schools. | | |
| | A parent training for School Site Coun not been trained previously. | cil members | will be held at the beginning | g of the school year and al | Il sites will send members who have |
| | A district-wide newsletter will be sent of | out monthly | during the 2016 - 2017 scho | ool year. | |
| | All three middle schools will continue t the schools to describe their careers a | | | | embers and parents were invited into |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | | Budgeted Expenditures |
| 1A – Communicat | tion | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All | Parent community cost | Supplemental \$21,190.00 |
| a-Maintatin current communication plan and translation services.b- Improve district and site communication with parents based on feedback gathered via the parent survey. | | _ L _ E _ F _ F En | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth | District-wide newsletter (Supplemental \$25,000 | communication specialist) |
| | | | _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: | | |
| | ued outreach to parents of Is to increase participation in district | | (Specify) | | |

| professional lear | and and improve the quality of parent rning opportunities based on annual ent. Add more parent engagement | LEA-wide | X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Parent community cost Supplemental \$21,190.00 Additional Parent workshops Supplemental \$10,000 |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | LCAP Year 2: 2017-18 | |
| Expected Annua Measurable Outcomes: | Latino Literacy project which was held 13 school sites sent parent representa A parent training for School Site Coun not been trained previously. A district-wide newsletter will be sent o | in the Fall a tives to the l cil members out monthly o o hold a Car | nd Spring, 100 parents part District English Language A will be held at the beginning during the 2017 - 2018 scho reer Day in February/March | g of the school year and all sites will send members who have ool year. 2018 where community members and parents were invited into |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| services. b- Improve distri- based on feedba c- Provide contir | ation ent communication plan and translation ct and site communication with parents ack gathered via the parent survey. nued outreach to parents of un- s to increase participation in district and | LEA-wide | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | Parent community cost Supplemental \$21,190.00 District-wide newsletter (communication specialist) Supplemental \$25,000 |
| professional lear | University and and improve the quality of parent rning opportunities based on annual ent. Add more parent engagement | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth | Parent community cost Supplemental \$21,190 Additional Parent workshops Supplemental \$10,000 |

| | | | | Page 52 of 8 | |
|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| sessions during th | ne school year. | | _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | |
| | | 1 | LCAP Year 3: 2018-19 | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | During the 2018 - 2019 school year, our expected annual measurable outcome would be for 30 Spanish speaking parents participated in t Latino Literacy project which was held in the Fall and Spring, 100 parents participated in the District-wide EL Parent University, and 13 of t | | | | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| 1A - Communicati | on | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All | Parent community cost Supplemental \$21,190.00 | |
| services. b - Improve distric based on feedbac c - Provide continu unduplicated pupi | nt communication plan and translation et and site communication with parents ek gathered via the parent survey. ued outreach to parents of ls to increase participation in district . Add more parent engagement he school year. | | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | District-wide newsletter (communication specialist) Supplemental \$25,000 | |
| 1B - Berryessa Ur | niversity | LEA-wide | <u>X</u> All | Parent community cost Supplemental \$21,190.00 | |
| Continue to expar | nd and improve the quality of parent ing opportunities based on annual | | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: | Additional Parent workshops Supplemental \$10,000 | |

| | | Page 53 of 87 |
|--|-----------|---------------|
| | (Specify) | |

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

| Original GOAL 1 from prior year LCAP: | Ensure a safe and productive learning environment for all students. | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 X 2 3 4 5 X 6 X 7 X 8 X COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| Goal Applie | s to: Schools: ALL Applicable Pupil Subgroups: | | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes | Set goals collected for: Attendance rates Chronic absence rates Suspension rates Expulsion rates Office referrals California Healthy Kids Survey (Survey will be disseminated during the 2015 – 2016 school year) A process to study the effectiveness of SSTs and interventions. Survey all middle school students' sense of safety via the BUSD Student LCAP Survey. Use data from 2015-16 as baseline for further goal development. Establish district-wide metrics to assess school climate and its impact on learning. 100% of school safety plans are current and updated annually. Study impact of school social workers, including number of students served, incidents of behavior problems, improvement in attendance rates and decrease in suspension/expulsion rates, develop baseline data to evaluate in future years. Get data to set metrics Identify a list of priority items for site improvements and complete 100% of projects identified for 2015-16. | Outcomes: | Baseline data to support G 8 Foster Youth in the district 17 Homeless Youth in the district Attendance Rates 2014-15 Berryessa Union School Di Brooktree Elementary School Cherrywood Elementary School - Northwood Elementary School - Northwood Elementary School Summerdale Elementary School Summerdale Elementary School Summerdale Elementary School Vinci Park Elementary School - Vinci Park Elementary School - Vinci Park Elementary School - Vinci Park Elementary School - Sierramont Middle School I Sierramont Middle School I Current Attendance Rates Berryessa Union School Di Brooktree Elementary School Di | et district : strict - 97% bool - 97% bool - 97% bool - 97% bool - 97% - 97% chool - 97% - 97% chool - 97% ool - 98% bool - 98% 2015-16 (As of April 29, 2016) strict - 96% bool - 97% chool - 97% |

| Majestic Way Elementary School - 97% |
|---|
| Noble Elementary School - 98% |
| Northwood Elementary School - 97% |
| Ruskin Elementary School - 97% |
| Summerdale Elementary School - 98% |
| Toyon Elementary School - 96% |
| Vinci Park Elementary School - 97% |
| Morrill Middle School - 97% |
| Piedmont Middle School Middle School - 86% |
| Sierramont Middle School Middle School - 97% |
| |
| Chronic Absence Rates: 69 SARB conferences were held with |
| students who had chronic absent issues. 45 students were |
| placed on 2 year attendance contracts based on these |
| conferences. 7 students were referred to the District Attorney. |
| |
| Number of Suspension 2014-15 |
| |
| Berryessa Union School District - 178 |
| Brooktree Elementary School - 4 |
| Cherrywood Elementary School - 6 |
| Laneview Elementary School - 0 |
| Majestic Way Elementary School - 6 |
| Noble Elementary School - 2 |
| Northwood Elementary School - 2 |
| Ruskin Elementary School - 0 |
| Summerdale Elementary School - 16 |
| Toyon Elementary School - 20 |
| Vinci Park Elementary School - 0 |
| Morrill Middle School - 56 |
| Piedmont Middle School Middle School - 46 |
| Sierramont Middle School Middle School - 20 |
| |
| Number of Suspension 2015-16 (As of April 29, 2016) |
| |
| Berryessa Union School District - 141 |
| Brooktree Elementary School - 8 |
| Cherrywood Elementary School - 10 |
| Laneview Elementary School - 3 |
| Majestic Way Elementary School - 11 |
| Noble Elementary School - 7 |
| Northwood Elementary School - 2 |
| Ruskin Elementary School - 0 |
| Summerdale Elementary School - 20 |

| Page 57 of 87 |
|---|
| Toyon Elementary School - 30 Vinci Park Elementary School - 0 Morrill Middle School - 49 Piedmont Middle School Middle School - 47 Sierramont Middle School Middle School - 34 |
| Number of Expulsions 2014-15 |
| Morrill Middle School - 6 Piedmont Middle School Middle School - 5 Sierramont Middle School Middle School - 4 |
| Number of Expulsions 2015-16 |
| Brooktree Elementary School - 2 Morrill Middle School - 2 Piedmont Middle School Middle School - 2 Sierramont Middle School Middle School - 0 |
| Healthy Kids Survey data - 621 parents (380 elementary & 241 middle school) took the survey in November 2015. The survey was online and provided in 2 languages (English and Spanish). |
| Elementary School Parent Survey results: The school promotes academic success for my student - 90% strongly agree/agree; 6% disagree; 4% don't know The school is an inviting place to learn - 87% strongly agree/agree; 9% disagree; 3 % don't know The school is a safe place for my student - 90% strongly agree/agree; 7% disagree; 2% don't know |
| Middle School Parent Survey results: The school promotes academic success for my student - 82% strongly agree/agree; 13% disagree; 5% don't know The school is an inviting place to learn - 73% strongly agree/agree; 20% disagree; 7 % don't know The school is a safe place for my student - 85% strongly agree/agree; 13% disagree; 3% don't know |
| Healthy Kids Survey data (elementary schools) - 409 5th grade students took the survey in November 2015. Student feels safe at school - 43% all students; 38% most students; 14% some students; 5% never do Adults at the school care about me - 59% all of the time; 24% |

| | | | | Page 58 of 87 |
|--|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | Adults tell me when I do most of the time; 30% s Teachers and adults ma school - 85% all of the ti the time; 1% never do | ome of the time; 1% never do a good job - 25% all of the time; 43% ome of the time; 2% never do ake it clear that bullying is not allowed at ime; 9% most of the time; 4% some of |
| | | | 100 to 500, with high sc | CI) scores range from approximately ore representing more positive school s and engagement; and lower levels of |
| | | | SCI Score 320 (2016) & SCI State Percentile 67 | 9% of 7th grade students took survey) 329 (2014) -9 change % (2016) & 74% (2014) -7% change rcentile 58% (2016) & 72% (2014) -14 |
| | | | survey) SCI Score 353 (2016) & SCI State Percentile 85 | I (93% of 7th grade students took 290 (2014) +63 change % (2016) & 46% (2014) +39% change rcentile 74% (2016) & 20% (2014) +54% |
| | | | survey) SCI Score 387 (2016) & SCI State Percentile 96 | ool (93% of 7th grade students took 357 (2014) +30 change % (2016) & 87% (2014) +9% change rcentile 83% (2016) & 55% (2014) +28% |
| | LCAP Year | r: 2015 - 2016 | | |
| Planned Action | ons/Services | | Actual Actio | ons/Services |
| | Budgeted Expenditures | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| changes to improve operational effectiveness and efficiency per the Facilities Master Plan (attached). | | structure and st custodians, gro etc.) to maximiz effectiveness of | facilities and assess taffing level (e.g. unds, maintenance, ze efficiency and f resources; update | Salaries & maintenance equipment Base \$7,582,553.19 |
| Upgrade facilities to improve security | | equipment base | ed on needs analysis. | |

| | | | Page 59 of 87 |
|--|--|---|--|
| and appropriateness of the learning environment based on priorities identified in the district facility master plan (lighting, space configuration conducive to program delivery, door security, playground, fencing, furnishing, technology, etc.). | | Measure L (bond) projects were started this past summer (July 2015). More projects are scheduled for this summer (June - August 2016) including modernization of classrooms and creating Flexible Instructional Space (FIS) classrooms. | |
| Scope of Service | | Scope of LEA - wide Service | |
| <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 1B1 - Support training readiness and monitoring of implementation of safety plans. | 10% of School Safety plan Committee's salaries Base \$163,264.19 | 1B1 - School safety plans were revised this year and approved by the board in the Fall of 2015. District-wide emergency plans were reviewed. | 10% of School Safety plan Committee's salaries Base \$148,092.78 |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of LEA-wide Service | |
| <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 1C1 - Provide training and support to implement selected programs district wide (e.g. PBIS, BEST) Establish district-wide metrics to | Cost of PBIS and other programs at school sites Supplemental \$47,500 | 1C1 - Individual school sites continued to implement school-wide positive behavior programs such as PBIS, BEST, etc. School social workers are working with principals and staff to | Cost of PBIS and other socio- emotional programs at school sites Supplemental \$47,500 |

| | | | Page 60 of 87 |
|--|--|---|--|
| assess school climate and its impact on learning and work with sites to evaluate models to inform selection of coherent approach. | | continue focusing on these socio- emotional strategies. A small group of school social workers and vice principals attended a Restorative Justice professional development in May 2016. We will continue to look into restorative practices to implement in conjunction with school-wide positive behavior programs. The California Healthy Kids survey was administered this school year to current 5th grade and 7th grade students. Teachers and staff members at all sites were encouraged to take the survey as well. These results will set a baseline for measuring school climate. | |
| Scope of Service LEA-wide School-wide X All | | Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | |
| 1D1 – Implement plan to support digital citizenship | 10% of Technology coach's salary Supplemental \$11,745.08 | 1D1 - The instructional coach of technology worked collaboratively with school principals to plan digital citizenship lessons for students throughout the school year. She was able to have a school-wide assembly at Morrill Middle School to talk with all of | 10% of Technology coach's salary Supplemental \$11,749.64 |

| Done | 61 | of 87 | |
|------|----|-------|--|
| Page | 01 | 0101 | |

| | | | Fage 01 01 07 |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | the students at one time. | |
| Scope of Service LEA-wide X All OR: _ Low Income pupils English Learners _ Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | |
| 1E1 – Develop a long-term plan and criteria for providing additional socio- emotional support at the elementary school sites. | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$407,745.08 | 1E1 - Two additional school social workers were hired to help support our elementary schools this past school year. At this point, our district has 6 school social workers to cover all 13 schools. Our three middle schools each have 1 school social worker. Our 10 elementary schools share the 3 remaining school social workers. The Education Services department is working closely with the School Social Workers to update referral forms. The School Social Workers are also creating a principal training on how to effectively use the School Social workers and review their job description. This training will happen at the end of the current school year. Homeless and Foster Youth were identified this school year. | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$505,358.57 |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of LEA-wide Service | |
| <u>X</u> All OR: | | All OR: | |

| | | | Page 62 of 87 |
|---|--|--|--|
| _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 1F1 – With sites, assess benefits and determine district wide focus for the Response To Intervention (RTI) model and specifically social-emotional programs (e.g. PBIS) across all schools. | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$407,745.08 | 1F1 - The school year started off with an interim Director of Special Education/Student Services. Therefore, we did not focus our energies on creating an RTI socio- emotional model. The school social workers spent most of their time working with individual students and supporting their families. | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$505,358.57 |
| Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | | Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | |
| Other Subgroups: (Specify) 1G1: Empower school social workers to manage schoolwide systems for RTI, PBIS, SST, and school attendance. Provide training for school social workers to provide consistent support across the district. | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$407,745.08 | 1G1 - School social workers were stretched thin covering multiple school sites, especially at the elementary level. They also do not necessarily have the academic background to run Student Success Teams (SSTs). A principal on special assignment was given the task to work on school attendance and follow up with SARB meetings. | School Social Workers' salaries Supplemental \$505,358.57 |

Page 63 of 87

| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of LEA-wide Service | |
|--|---|---|---|
| X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? | As we reviewed the actions/services and ex and offering support services for students we supporting our Foster Youth. FosterVision Additional training for office staff in identifying There is a need for a district-wide positive be help curb our suspension/expulsion rates. | who are identified as Homeless. There wil was put into place this past school year to ng and supporting these students is need | I also be more of an emphasis on b help us track foster students. ed. |

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

| | | | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 \underline{X} 2 \underline{X} 3 _ 4 _ 5 _ 6 _ 7 \underline{X} 8 \underline{X} |
|--------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| LCAP: | | | | COE only: 9 _ 10 _ |
| | | | | Local : Specify |
| Goal Applies | to: Schools: ALL Applicable Pupil Subgroups: | | | |
| Annual | Develop and roll-out online walk through tool for use by principals and district leaders during classrooms observations that is focused on collecting evidence of the 4C's. This tool will collect evidence of student practices and teacher instructional practices. 100% of principals will be trained on use of online walk though tool. Instructional rounds (using the walk through) conducted in six schools. Baseline data from 2015-16 SBAC will be reviewed and be used to establish a plan for addressing student academic needs as identified in SBAC results. 100% of teachers will be appropriately placed in teaching assignments 100% of sites will pass the Williams Compliance review regarding access to instructional materials. Identify a site and develop a plan to transition to STEM Magnet school District benchmark assessments aligned with the CCSS will be updated through teacher input and used in the 2015-16 school year. ("Goals to be submitted in July 2015 when data is available": Include goals reflective of benchmark assessments given this | Annual Measurable Outcomes: | the online walk through too principals and district leade tool was focused on observ- teachers were using in the department felt that this on was not sufficient for our si to walk through the majority January. After walking thro- professional development si lesson design. The majority from EdLeader 21 which is the classroom. We will be EdLeader 21 professional of walkthroughs for next scho 99% of teachers are appro- assignments. Teachers with full credentia Teachers without full credentia this assignment of teacher of 100% of sites passed the V access to instructional mate this school year | priately placed in teaching al = 320 entials = 9 of English Learners = 5 Villiams Compliance review regarding erials. There were no complaints filed was identified as our Science rts and Mathematics (STEAM) school |

year? Include the baseline score and expected improvement number/%age Student performance on district benchmark assessments will improve by 5%.

List of academic interventions and corresponding metrics used at school sites.

Maintain current arts and music program offerings.

Appropriate placement of EL students in middle school classes. Continue designated ELD rotation at the elementary level. Consider adding goals for EL student improvement (CELDT)

Improve student achievement on standardized assessments:

2. District-wide SBAC scores in ELA and Math will increase by 5% in the 2015 – 2016 school year.

3. AMAO 1 (Percentage of ELs Making Annual Progress): Continue to exceed annual growth target in CA = 59% (District = 69.2%;).

4. AMAO 2 (Percentage of ELs Attaining the English Proficient Level on CELDT): Continue to exceed annual target for English proficient less than 5 years = 22.8%; District = 42.2%. 5 years or more annual target = 49%; District = 60.8% Supplemental Education Services (SES) data for schools placed in Program Improvement Year 2 - We had 201 students who participated in the program this school year.

Current art and music programs were maintained at the elementary and middle school sites.

Twenty-nine students at the three middle schools were enrolled into the Read 180 program (Early Intermediate EL students). An additional 29 students were enrolled into the System 44 program (Beginner EL students).

Reading Inventory Lexile Mean Growth for students enrolled in Read 180/System 44

Morrill Middle School65 pointsPiedmont Middle School54 pointsSierramont Middle School14 pointsMean District Growth45 points

Baseline SBAC data 2014-15 English Language Arts Standards Met or Exceeded = 52% District Wide

Listed Below are the SBAC ELA 2014 - 2015 Results (Standard Not Met; Standard Nearly Met; Standard Met; Standard Exceeded)

District Wide - 21%; 23%; 33%: 22%

Brooktree - 33%; 20%; 25%; 22% Cherrywood - 36%; 27%; 25%; 13% Laneview - 25%; 26% ; 29%; 20% Majestic Way - 16%; 19%; 27%; 38% Noble - 16%; 22%; 32%; 30% Northwood - 15% ; 17%; 33%; 35% Ruskin - 15%; 16%; 30%; 39% Summerdale - 23%; 20%; 28%; 21% Toyon - 44%; 24%; 20%; 11% Vinci Park - 4%; 26%; 32%; 18% Morrill - 25%; 28%; 37%; 10% Piedmont - 25%; 28%; 36%; 10%

Page 66 of 87

Sierramont - 11%; 18%; 40%; 31%

| Sierramont - 11%; 18%; 40%; 31% |
|--|
| |
| |
| Mathematic Standards Met or Exceeded = 50% District Wide |
| Listed Below are the SBAC Math 2014 - 2015 Results (Standard Not Met; Standard Nearly Met; Standard Met; Standard Exceeded) |
| District Wide - 24%; 26%; 24%; 26% |
| Brooktree - 33%; 30%; 28%; 9% Cherrywood - 30%; 32%; 25%; 13% Laneview - 27%; 28%; 28%; 17% Majestic Way - 15%; 24%; 28%; 34% Noble - 20%; 26%; 29% Northwood - 12%; 22%; 31%; 35% Ruskin - 13%; 20%; 31%; 36% Summerdale - 23%; 29%; 29%; 18% Toyon - 43%; 28%; 17%; 12% Vinci Park - 20%; 36%; 30%; 14% Morrill - 30%; 29%; 21%; 19% Piedmont - 33%; 28%; 19%; 20% Sierramont - 15%; 21%; 23%; 41% CELDT Data AMAO 1 (Percentage of ELs Making Annual Progress in Learning); State Target = 60.5% Improved to 71.6% of students (1.5% increase) Number of Annuals tested = 2.1493 Number meeting growth = 1,536 AMAO 2 (Percentage of ELs Attaining the English Proficient Level on CELDT) English proficient less than 5 years; State Target = 24.2%;. District = 41.8%. Number of English Learner in Cohort = 1,963 |
| Number in Cohort attaining the English Proficient Level = 849 |
| English Learners 5 years or more State Target = 50.9%; District = 66.7% |

.

| | | | Page 67 of 87 |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | 131 students (K - 8) wer Special Education service services. 31 of those str waiting to be tested. 48 students (Pre-K) wer Special Education service services. 7 did not qual 7 students from private services | ner in Cohort = 610 ing the English Proficient Level = 407 re referred to be assessed to receive ces. 74 of those students qualified for udents did not qualify. 26 students are e referred to be assessed to receive ces. 38 of those students qualified for ify. 3 students are waiting to be tested. schools located in our district were to receive Special Education services. ified for services. 5 students are waiting |
| | LCAP Yea | r: 2015 - 2016 | |
| Planned Acti | ons/Services | Actual Actions/Services | |
| | Budgeted Expenditures | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| 2A1 – Provide basic instructional services, which include classroom teachers, school administrators, office staff, and district office administrators and staff. | All salaries Base \$55,874,349.11 | 2A1 - Provide basic instructional services, which include classroom teachers, school administrators, office staff, and district administrators and staff. Several key positions in the Special Education department were not filled for the majority of this school year including school psychologists and Speech Language Pathologists. | All salaries Base \$53,287,194.85 |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of LEA-wide Service | |
| <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient | | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |

| | | | Page 68 of 8 |
|---|---|---|---|
| _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | | |
| _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) 2B1Provide resources to support CCSS-aligned instruction: a- Replace consumables and associated CCSS materials. b- Create professional learning resources to highlight effective practices-observations of teachers modeling practices and video sample lessons. c- Develop a district-wide system to share lessons created at individual school sites. d- Continue investment and support for classroom technology (e.g., computers for teachers, students, and administrators; and software). e- Staff to support and maintain technology. | Materials/ Technology Supplemental \$572,789 Technology salaries Supplemental \$729,659.87 | 2B1 - Provide resources to support CCSS-aligned instruction: a - The district replaced consumable materials (i.e Math, Social Studies, Science and ELD workbooks; TK curriculum) . Non-consumable CCSS curriculum was purchased which included - Lucy Calkins Writing Units of Study (K - 5th grade), Read 180/System 44, English 3D, Fountas & Pinnell Reading Assessment kits (K - 2nd grade), Sets of 6 classroom library (Rigby books), and CPM math manipulatives. b - Our district website hosted CCSS lessons under Berryessa Connect. Lessons and other teaching tools have been uploaded to this website to help teachers. c - More chromebook carts were purchased this school year through site budgets. d - Classroom technology was supported by school sites. A district- wide Typing Instructor program was purchased. e - Current staff level remained the same to help support/maintain technology needs. A tech lead teacher was identified at each school site. These teachers receive a \$1000 | Materials/Technology Supplemental \$573,286.40 Technology salaries Supplemental \$643,607.33 |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | stipend. Scope of Service X All | |

| | | | Page 69 of 87 |
|---|--|---|--|
| OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 2C1Implement Next Generation Science Standards: a- Purchase Next Generation Science Standards aligned instructional materials b- Contract with BaySci to provide district/site level support on the roll out of NGSS c- Begin transition to district STEM magnet school by engaging with community and planning towards development of magnet program: Identify appropriate school site Determine enrollment policy Staffing PD plan Facilities requirements and costs | BaySci contract for NGSS rollout Supplemental \$47,250 NGSS materials Supplemental \$10,000 | 2C1 - Implement Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) and NGSS Framework: a - FOSS kits were purchased for teachers who attended BaySci training this school year. FOSS kits were also purchased for 6th and 7th grade teachers to support their transition to NGSS. b - District contracted with BaySci and sent 6 teachers to an NGSS science leadership training. During the year, site representatives along with district staff met with BaySci and other districts to discuss current information on NGSS and allow for planning time. c - Toyon Elementary School was identified as the district's STEAM school set to kick-off in the 2017 - 2018 school year. Next school year will be a planning year determining a professional development plan, etc. | BaySci contract for NGSS rollout Supplemental \$47,250 NGSS materials Supplemental \$17,000 |
| Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | | Scope of Service X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |

| | | | Page 70 of |
|--|---|---|--|
| Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | | |
| 2D1 – Provide enrichment opportunities for students a- The district will maintain its music program and staff. b- The district will maintain its after school programs and services. c- The district will explore options for expanding enrichment during the school day (including possible changes to the master schedule and expanded learning time). | Music Teacher salaries Base \$953,800 | 2D1 - Provided enrichment opportunities for students a - The district continued to offer string/instrumental music for 4th and 5th grade students. Third grade students have the opportunities to choose violin classes. Middle school students have the opportunity to join chorus and band/orchestra. b - After school programs and services remained in place. c - The district did not expand enrichment activities during the school day. Sites use their supplemental money to provide enrichment opportunities after school. | Music Teacher salaries Base \$832,001.85 |
| Scope of Service LEA-wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | Scope of Service X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 2E1 – Intervention and enrichment a- Assess effectiveness of current intervention and after school enrichment programs. b- Use this assessment to develop a district-wide set of expectations for use of funds to support site based intervention, including a list of appropriate services to provide to | Site-based interventions/ enrichment Supplemental \$50,000 | 2E1 - Intervention and enrichment a - Supplemental Education Services (SES) were provided to students who qualified this school year (Title I schools in Year 2 of Program Improvement). Sites provided their own interventions (Supplemental Instruction) taught by either certificated or classified personnel. The district purchased Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) kits | Site-based interventions/enrichment Supplemental 50,000 |

| | | | Page 71 of 87 |
|---|---|--|---|
| students. | | for Summerdale Elementary School. Upper grade teachers and reading aides were trained in this program. They pulled small groups of at-risk students in order to help them with their reading/comprehension skills. b - An assessment of all of the intervention programs did not happen this school year. | |
| Scope of Service School wide X All | | Scope of Service School wide All | |
| 2F1 – Complete the update of the EL Master Plan, monitor effectiveness, and adjust program as needed. | EL Instructional Coach's salary Title III \$124,866.43 | 2F1 - The EL Master Plan was updated/completed and presented to the board of education in April 2016. Principals will receive a copy of the plan and it will be reviewed at a principal meeting at the end of this current school year. 2 .5 FTE EL Middle School teachers were added to Morrill Middle School and Piedmont Middle School to help support the new middle school EL programs. | EL Instructional Coach's salary Title III \$127,149.61 2 .5 FTE EL Middle School Teachers Supplemental \$125,000 |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of LEA-wide Service | |

| | | | Page 72 of 87 |
|---|--|---|---|
| X English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | X English Learners _ Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 2G1 – Middle school sites coordinate site-specific AVID program including director stipend, training, and other program resources. | AVID contract Supplemental \$12,282 AVID Director's stipend Supplemental \$1500 | 2G1 - All three middle schools have AVID elective classes. The AVID lead teachers from each site met with our AVID director this Spring to review all of the resources and requirements of the AVID program. | AVID contract Supplemental \$12,282 AVID Director's stipend Supplemental \$1500 |
| Scope of Service All middle schools X All | | Scope of Service All middle schools All OR: VI OR: X Low Income pupils | |
| 2H1 – Investigate project-based learning and develop roll-out plan (which may include time for curriculum planning, and materials to support expand implementation). | Planning materials Supplemental \$1500 | 2H1 - Project Based Learning (PBL) was a main focus of the district's professional development plan this school year. Members of the Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) along with site principals led three to four Thursday PD afternoon sessions at their school site reviewing the components of PBL. ILT members receive a \$1000 stipend for being on the committee. | Planning materials/substitutes/ILT stipends Supplemental \$40,000 |
| Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | | Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | |
| | | | Page 73 of 87 | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | |
| 2I1—Develop and roll-out online wa through tool for use by principals an district leaders during classrooms observations that is focused on collecting evidence of the 4C's. Train principals on use of online wal though tool. Conduct Instructional rounds (using the walk through) in six schools. | d Supplemental \$1500 | 211 - One principal professional development session was provided to review an online walkthrough tool. It was decided that there needed to be a deeper understanding of the 4 Cs before principals could walkthrough classrooms. In conjunction with EdLeader 21, several principals attended a session at the Santa Clara County Office of Education (SCCOE) to review rubrics and collaborate on understanding what to look for in classrooms are teaching the 4 C's. | Purchase Walkthrough tool/trining Supplemental \$3000 | |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of LEA-wide Service | | |
| X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? As we reviewed the actions/services and expenditures from the current school year, non-consumable materials aligned to Common Core State Standards (CCSS) were purchased to support teachers in the classroom. These materials for each subject area (ELA, ELD, Math Science, etc.) are essential in order to provide teachers with effective teaching practices. Intervention materials (Leveled Literacy Intervention) have been purchased this school year. A professional development roll-out plan needs to be established for next school year for intervention. | | | | |

| Original Pr GOAL 3 from prior year LCAP: | ovide Professional development for all staff. | | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \times 2 \times 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 \times 8 \times$ COE only: 9 - 10 - |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | | | Local : Specify |
| Goal Applies | to: Schools: ALL Applicable Pupil Subgroups: | | | |
| Annual Measurable | Create and use a survey to assess teacher satisfaction with monthly professional development and collaboration time. (if removed, this was an area of high importance to staff) 100% of teachers will receive professional development aligned with district's professional development plan. Classified staff receive continued professional development based on a staff survey to identify needs. 100% of classified staff that work in classrooms will receive professional development aligned with district's professional development plan. Develop a professional development plan with analysis on changes to schedule, use of technology and content, reflective of staff feedback on survey. Provide teachers and staff with advanced notice of professional development scope and sequence. Develop a calendar of classroom visits/support provide by academic coaches. Establish a district-wide system for use of academic coaches (and corresponding measures of effectives). 100% of principals will receive training on LCFF/LCAP and are able to align site goals (as established in the Single Plan for Student Achievement) to the district's goals. Implement and continue to update EL master plan and provide corresponding services , including the SEAL program. | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | the certificated (elementary coaches and administrators staff were given advanced development sessions. Fe out after the professional d provide feedback for the Edeprovide for SEAL teachers, 1 day -CCSS: Going Deeper SEAL teachers & principals, 3 sessions -Leveled Literacy Intervolution feacher, 3 days PROFESSIONAL DEVELC -CPM CCSS aligned in -Integrated vs. Discipling science teachers, 2 day | DPMENT for ELEMENTARY ONLY uage Strategies Training & Integrated TK sites (BT, TY, VP) & K-1 (CW, six afternoon planning sessions d instruction for All K-2 Teachers, 1 aligned instruction for All 3-5 in Math, ELA/ELD, Science, for Non- ipals, 3 sessions g Unit Design for Non-SEAL teachers vention Training for 5 Summerdale DPMENT for MIDDLE SCHOOL ONLY struction math teachers, 6 days nary Specific Science Instruction for |

| -CCSS: Integrating the 4C's into Multidisciplinary Lessons for All teachers & principals, 2 sessions -Project Based Learning Unit Design for All teachers & principals, 4 sessions -Scholastic Read 180 for ELD Teachers of CELDT 1 & 2 students, 6 days -English 3D for teachers of long term English learners, 2 days |
|--|
| PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for BOTH MIDDLE SCHOOL & ELEMENTARY Project Based Learning 101 for Instructional Leadership Team, Coaches, Principals, 3 days in summer Project Based Learning Boot Camp for Instructional leadership Team Members who did not attend Project Based Learning 101, 1 day Writing Units of Study Training for ELA & Social Studies Lead Teachers, 2 1/2 days Professional Learning Community Training with New Teacher Center for Lead Teachers, 3 days Berryessa University Tech Training for selected teaches, 15 sessions FOSS NGSS Aligned Training for science lead teachers, 1/2 day ELD: Integrated vs. Designated for a majority of teachers, 1 session Math Practices for a majority of teachers, 1 session ELA/ELD Professional Development for Curriculum Council Members, 5 sessions |
| PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for ADMINISTRATION & COACHING STAFF -Cognitive Coachingfor teacher Advisors and District Librarian, 10 days -ELA/ELD Framework for Teacher Advisers & District Administrators, 3 Days -Positive Discipline & Intervention for Principals & APs, 1 Day Effective Lesson Design for Principals & APs, 4 sessions Walkthrough Tool for Principals & APs, 1 session LCAP/SPSA alignment training for Principals, 2 sessions |
| PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT for CLASSIFIED STAFF Infinite Campus training for Attendance Clerks, 3 sessions |

| | | | Page 76 of 87 |
|--|---|---|--|
| | | paraprofessionals, 1 - TCI training for Par - CSEA training for C 100% of principals were Student Achievement (S Plan (LCAP) | ssment overview Reading support for session aprofessional Choice, 3 days SEA members by choice, 3 sessions trained on aligning their Single Plan for PSA) to the Local Control Accountability Plan was updated and approved by the |
| | LCAP Yea | ar: 2015 - 2016 | |
| Planned Acti | ons/Services | Actual Actio | ns/Services |
| | Budgeted Expenditures | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| 3A1 – Modify district-wide training to align with identified teacher and staff needs collected in the 2014-15 LCAP survey. | all instructional coaches Supplemental \$1,033,372 | 3A1 - An optional professional development day was provided to teachers on August 18th. Topics included technology, review of the ELA/ELD framework, and a review of the Math standards/practices. The instructional coaches led this training. The school sites were given back 3 Thursday PD days - which was a need identified in the 2014 - 2015 LCAP survey. | all instructional coaches Supplemental \$948,058.05 |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of LEA-wide Service | |
| X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 3B1 – Continue district-wide academic coaching, expand coaching to include support with math. | Math coach salary Supplemental \$83,546.86 | 3B1 - Instructional coaching was more subject specific this school year. One of the coaching positions was repurposed to become our district's | Math coach's salary Supplemental \$103,550.95 |

| | | | Page 77 of 87 |
|---|--|--|--|
| Provide professional development on use of the new CCSSaligned math instructional materials. | | Math coach. This was necessary with the adoption and professional development of new math curriculum. | |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of Service | |
| X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 3C1 – Continue to provide monthly teacher collaboration time during the monthly district-wide PD. Develop a district-wide plan with principals to increase teacher collaboration at school sites, including evaluation of possible changes to the master schedule. | 10% of teacher salaries Base \$3,428,372.78 | 3C1 - Collaboration time was built into monthly Thursday PD sessions. Teachers had up to 30 minutes to collaborate with their grade level partners during this time. | 10% of teacher salaries Base \$3,356,362.87 |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of LEA-wide Service | |
| X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 3D1 – Provide a training to principals on LCAP funding and aligning site plans with the district LCAP goals. | Copying costs Supplemental \$500 | 3D1 - A principal training (and follow-up sessions) was given at the beginning of the school year to provide principals a transition time to make their Site Plan | Copying costs Supplemental \$0 |

| | | | Page 78 of 87 |
|---|---|--|--|
| | | for Student Achievement (SPSA) aligned with the district's LCAP goals and action items. | |
| Scope of LEA-wide Service | | Scope of Service | |
| X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| 3E1 Support for English Learners a- Selected sites and all TK programs will receive Sobrato Early Academic Language (SEAL) training b- Professional Development on the ELA/ELD framework c- Continue academic coaching support for ELD d- Provide PD support for use of Read 180, System 44, and English 3D at the middle schools | SEAL contract (cohort 1) Supplemental \$55,000 EL Instructional Coach Title III \$124,816.49 | 3E1 - Support for English Learners a - All 6 TK classrooms and Kindergarten and 1st grade teachers at Cherrywood, Laneview, and Summerdale participated in the first year of training for the Sobrato Early Academic Language (SEAL) training. This included 6 professional development days and 3 unit development days. b - Teachers received an overview of the ELA/ELD Framework at the optional PD day on August 18th. c - Academic coaching was still held to support our EL students. d - Professional Development days and on-site coaching was provided for teachers who taught the Read 180/System 44 program and the English 3D programs at the middle schools. | SEAL contract (cohort 1) Supplemental \$100,000 EL Instructional Coach Title III \$127,149.61 |

| | | | | 1 296 7 5 6 6 |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Scope of Service LEA-wide selected elementary schools and middle schools | | Scope of Service | LEA-wide selected elementary schools (CW, LV, SD, and TK) | |
| <u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) | | | earners | |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? | Develop a calendar of classroom visits/sup Services team intends to establish a distric effectiveness). The academic coaches will professional development needs to be prior | t-wide syster be based ou | n for use of academic coache at of school sites for the follow | s (and corresponding measures of |

| Original GOAL 4 from prior year LCAP: Goal Applies | | nd community involvement and educatio | on. | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 _ 2 _ 3 X 4 _ 5 _ 6 _ 7 _ 8 _ COE only: 9 _ 10 _ Local : Specify |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Annual | specific focus on participa (English Learners, Low In Increase participation of p district's annual LCAP sur Establish district-wide exp and district English Learn Council (SSC). Increase p parents of unduplicated p Develop and provide a se Core State Standards, NC supporting student acade | parents of unduplicated pupils in the rvey by 5% (was 65% in 2014-15) pectations and aligned training for site er Advisory Council (ELAC), School Site participation in ELAC and SSC by upils ries of trainings for parents in Common GSS, use of technology and methods for | Annual Measurable Outcomes: | parents participated in the in the Fall and Spring, 40 EL Parent University, an representatives to the Di Committee meetings. A parent training for Sch the beginning of the scho A district-wide newslette March, April, May, and J All three middle schools 2016 where community | school year, 15 Spanish speaking le Latino Literacy project which was held 0 parents participated in the District-wide d 9 of the 13 school sites sent parent strict English Language Advisory ool Site Council members was held at bol year. r was sent out during the months of une of this current school year. held a Career Day in February/March members and parents were invited into heir careers and their pathways to |
| | | | : 2015 - 2016 | | |
| | Planned Action | | | Actual Actions/Services | |
| | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| a- Maintain current communication plan and translation services. b- Assess impact of communication to inform planning of further | | services were r requests during conference tim | nslation/interpretation maintained. More g parent teacher | Parent community cost Supplemental \$21,190.00 | |

| | | | Page 81 of 87 |
|--|--|--|--|
| c- Provide focused outreach to parents of unduplicated pupils to increase participation in district and site meetings. | | (March - June 2016) c - A Latino Literacy class was held this school year focusing on our Spanish speaking parents. | |
| Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | - | Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | |
| 4B1 – Berryessa University Expand and improve the quality of parent professional learning opportunities based on annual needs assessment | Parent community cost Supplemental \$50,197.50 | 4B1 - Berryessa University An EL Parent University was held in the Spring of 2016 on a Saturday. The event was well attended and feedback was positive. | Parent community cost Supplemental \$21,190.00 |
| Scope of Service LEA-wide X All | - | Scope of Service All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | |
| services, and expenditures will be (En made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to | nglish Learners, Low Income and Foster parents of unduplicated pupils in the dist | icipation, with a specific focus on participa Youth) needs to be developed. Continue rict's annual LCAP survey. eries of trainings for all parents in helping p | to find ways to increase the participation |

| | State Standards, Next Generation Science Standards, the use of technology and methods for supporting student academic achievement. |
|--|--|
|--|--|

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated: \$5,048,237

The majority of Berryessa's Supplemental and Concentration grant funds will be used to pay for salaried positions/benefits (approximately \$3.2 million). Our Director of Curriculum and Instruction, Coordinator of Education Services, and our 10 Instructional Coaches help support our classroom teachers who are working directly with students (including Low Income, Foster Youth, and English Learners) who need extra support in academic areas. Additional School Social Workers and a half-time nurse have been hired for the 2016 - 2017 school year in order to help support more students/families who need to receive socio-emotional support and health support in order for them to be successful in the classrooms. Two part-time ELD teachers have been hired at the middle school sites to support our EL Newcomer students. Second Language Translators/Interpreters are also paid through these funds in order to help to communicate effectively with families who do not speak English.

The rest of the Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds (approximately \$1.8 million) will be used to pay for professional development presenters/consultants, curriculum, library books, technology programs, and classroom/office supplies. These items help support all of the teachers who are working directly with students in the classroom.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

9.45 %

Berryessa Union Elementary School District, the increase in proportionality for English Learners, Low Income Students and Foster Youth is 9.45% in the 2015 - 2016 LCAP year. In order to ensure equity, we recognize the need to improve and expand services for our students in need. Consistent with our core vision and goals, the actions and services described in sections 3A are designed specifically to increase achievement for English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth. Specific actions to support these key areas include, but are not limited to:

Continuation and improvement of intervention programs

Implementation of English Language Development (ELD) standards and the purchase of supplemental ELD materials

Providing access and opportunities for science instruction aligned to the NGSS (materials & support for teachers)

Targeted literacy interventions

School social worker services

Professional development related to instructional strategies (i.e. SEAL program, PBL program, etc.)

Section 4: Expenditure Summary

| Total Expenditures by Funding Source | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Funding Source | 2015 - 2016 Annual Update Budgeted | 2015 - 2016 Annual Update Actual | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2016-17- 2018-19 Total | |
| All Funding Sources | 72,455,167.8 3 | 69,742,744.8 4 | 58,896,687.8 8 | 59,421,382.1 0 | 59,266,732.4 9 | 177,584,802. 47 | |
| | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13,000.00 | 0.00 | 13,000.00 | |
| Base | 68,223,709.8 6 | 65,206,205.5 4 | 54,529,721.9 4 | 54,529,721.9 4 | 54,529,721.9 4 | 163,589,165. 82 | |
| Concentration | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Supplemental | 3,981,775.05 | 4,282,240.08 | 4,112,666.72 | 4,624,360.94 | 4,609,860.94 | 13,346,888.6 0 | |
| Title III | 249,682.92 | 254,299.22 | 254,299.22 | 254,299.22 | 127,149.61 | 635,748.05 | |

| Total Expenditures by Object Type | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Object Type | 2015 - 2016 Annual Update Budgeted | 2015 - 2016 Annual Update Actual | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2016-17- 2018-19 Total | |
| All Expenditure Types | 72,455,167.8 3 | 69,742,744.8 4 | 58,896,687.8 8 | 59,421,382.1 0 | 59,266,732.4 9 | 177,584,802. 47 | |
| | 72,455,167.8 3 | 69,742,744.8 4 | 58,896,687.8 8 | 59,421,382.1 0 | 59,266,732.4 9 | 177,584,802. 47 | |
| 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

| Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Object Type | Funding Source | 2015 - 2016 Annual Update Budgeted | 2015 - 2016 Annual Update Actual | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2016-17- 2018-19 Total |
| All Expenditure Types | All Funding Sources | 72,455,167. 83 | 69,742,744. 84 | 58,896,687. 88 | 59,421,382. 10 | 59,266,732. 49 | 177,584,80 2.47 |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13,000.00 | 0.00 | 13,000.00 |
| | Base | 68,223,709. 86 | 65,206,205. 54 | 54,529,721. 94 | 54,529,721. 94 | 54,529,721. 94 | 163,589,16 5.82 |
| | Supplemental | 3,981,775.0 5 | 4,282,240.0 8 | 4,112,666.7 2 | 4,624,360.9 4 | 4,609,860.9 4 | 13,346,888. 60 |
| | Title III | 249,682.92 | 254,299.22 | 254,299.22 | 254,299.22 | 127,149.61 | 635,748.05 |
| 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures | Concentration | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).

(3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- 01-13-15 [California Department of Education]